Supplemental material

eTable 1 – Assessment of the quality of the evidence using appraisal tool for cross-sectional studies (AXIS tool).

e rable i – Asses	Someth Of t	ne quant	y or the evi	delice asili	y appraisa	11 1001 101	C1055-56	clional Stud	iica (AVI	<u>5 (001).</u>	T	
Name	Kristensen et al. 2018	Renom- Guiteras et al. 2018	Oesterhus et al. 2017	Sönnerstam et al. 2017	Barry et al. 2016	Cross et	Hanlon et al. 2015	Skoldunger et al. 2015	Tija et al 2014	Bosboom et al. 2012	Montrastruc et al. 2012	Parson s et al. 2012
Introduction												
1 Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Methods												
2 Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
3 Was the sample size justified?	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
4 Was the target/referenc e population clearly defined? (Is it clear who the research was about?)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

5 Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population base so that it closely represented the target/referenc e population under investigation?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
6 Was the selection process likely to select subjects/partici pants that were representative of the target/referenc e population under investigation?	YES											
7 Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non-responders?	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO

8 Were the risk	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
factor and												
outcome												
variables												
measured												
appropriate to												
the aims of the												
study?												
9 Were the risk	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
factor and	1123	123	113	110	110	123	112	123	112	1123	123	140
outcome												
variables												
measured												
correctly using												
instruments/me												
asurements that												
had been												
trialled, piloted												
or published												
previously?												
10 Is it clear	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
what was used												
to determined												
statistical												
significance												
and/or precision												
estimates? (eg,												
p values, CIs)												

11 Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently described to	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
enable them to												
be repeated? Results												
12 Were the basic data adequately described?	YES											
13 Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response bias?	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES
14 If appropriate, was information about non-responders described?	N/A	NO	NO	YES	N/A	NO	N/A	YES	N/A	NO	NO	YES
15 Were the results internally consistent?	YES											

16 Were the results for the analyses described in the methods,	YES											
presented? Discussion												
17 Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results?	YES											
18 Were the limitations of the study discussed?	YES	NO	YES	YES								
19 Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?	NO	YES	NO	YES								
20 Was ethical approval or consent of	NO	YES										

participants						
attained?						