# **Supplement**

eAppendix 1 Search strategy

eAppendix 2 Independent variables ranked by importance

eAppendix 3 Excluded independent variables from multivariable analysis

eAppendix4 Classification of acupuncture treatment frequency, duration, and the total

number of treatments

eAppendix 5 Independent variables included in multivariable analysis

eTable 1.1 Basic characteristics of included studies

eTable 1.2 Clinical characteristics of included studies

eTable 1.3 Risk of bias of included studies

eTable 2 Magnitude of significant factors impacting treatment effect in multivariable analysis

eTable3 Magnitude of significant factors in univariable analysis (excluded from multivariable

analysis)

#### eAppendix 1 Search strategy

#### 1. MEDLINE via PubMed Strategy

((electroacupuncture or "acupuncture"[mesh terms] or "acupuncture"[all fields] or "acupuncture therapy"[mesh terms] or "acupuncture therapy"[all fields] or auricular acupuncture or auricular needle or ear acupuncture or auricular plaster therapy or transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation or tens or electric stimulation therapy or laser acupuncture or auricular point sticking or acupressure or dry needle or scalp acupuncture or scalp sensory or scalp stimulation or filliform needle or filiform needle) and (randomized controlled trial or Controlled Clinical Trial or placebo[Title/Abstract] or sham[Title/Abstract] or randomized[Title/Abstract] or randomly[Title/Abstract] or trial[Title/Abstract] or groups[Title/Abstract])) not (animals NOT humans) and ("2015/01/01"[date - publication]): "2019/12/31"[date - publication])

#### 2. EMBASE Search strategy

('electroacupuncture'/exp OR electroacupuncture OR 'acupuncture therapy'/exp OR 'acupuncture therapy' OR (('acupuncture'/exp OR acupuncture) AND ('therapy'/exp OR therapy)) OR 'acupuncture moxibustion' OR 'acupuncture moxibustion'/exp OR (('acupuncture'/exp OR acupuncture) AND moxibustion) OR 'auricular acupuncture'/exp OR 'auricular acupuncture' OR (auricular AND ('acupuncture'/exp OR acupuncture)) OR 'auricular needle'/exp OR 'auricular needle' OR (auricular AND ('needle'/exp OR needle)) OR 'ear acupuncture'/exp OR 'ear acupuncture' OR (('ear'/exp OR ear) AND ('acupuncture'/exp OR acupuncture)) OR 'auricular plaster therapy' OR (auricular AND ('plaster'/exp OR plaster) AND ('therapy'/exp OR therapy)) OR 'transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation'/exp OR 'transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation' OR (transcutaneous AND electric AND ('nerve'/exp OR nerve) AND ('stimulation'/exp OR stimulation)) OR tens OR 'electric stimulation therapy'/exp OR 'electric stimulation therapy' OR (electric AND ('stimulation'/exp OR stimulation) AND ('therapy'/exp OR therapy)) OR 'laser acupuncture'/exp OR 'laser acupuncture' OR (('laser'/exp OR laser) AND ('acupuncture'/exp OR acupuncture)) OR 'auricular point sticking' OR (auricular AND point AND sticking) OR 'acupressure'/exp OR acupressure OR 'dry needle' OR (dry AND ('needle'/exp OR needle)) OR 'scalp acupuncture'/exp OR 'scalp acupuncture' OR (('scalp'/exp OR scalp) AND ('acupuncture'/exp OR acupuncture)) OR 'scalp sensory' OR (('scalp'/exp OR scalp) AND ('sensory'/exp OR sensory)) OR 'scalp stimulation' OR (('scalp'/exp OR scalp) AND ('stimulation'/exp OR stimulation)) OR 'filliform needle' OR (filliform AND ('needle'/exp OR needle)) OR 'filliform needle' OR (filiform AND ('needle'/exp OR needle))) AND ('randomized controlled trial'/exp OR 'randomized controlled trial' OR (randomized AND controlled AND ('trial'/exp OR trial)) OR 'controlled clinical trial'/exp OR 'controlled clinical trial' OR (controlled AND ('clinical'/exp OR clinical) AND ('trial'/exp OR trial)) OR 'placebo'/exp OR placebo OR sham OR randomized OR randomly OR 'trial'/exp OR trial OR groups) AND 'human'/exp NOT 'animal'/de NOT 'rat'/exp NOT 'mouse'/exp AND (2015:py OR 2016:py OR 2017:py OR 2018:py OR 2019:py)

#### 3. CENTRAL

#### Title Abstract Keyword

(electroacupuncture OR acupuncture OR auricular needle OR auricular plaster therapy OR transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation OR electric stimulation therapy OR auricular point sticking OR acupressure OR dry needle OR scalp sensory OR scalp stimulation OR filiform needle OR tens) AND (randomized controlled trial OR controlled clinical trial OR placebo OR sham OR randomized OR randomly OR trial OR groups) NOT (animal or rat or mouse)

• Publication year: from 2015 to 2019

#### 4. CNKI search strategy [Chinese database]

**English translation from Chinese version** 

Professional retrieval:

(SU=('acupuncture'+'electroacupuncture'+'acupuncture and moxibustion'+'laser acupuncture'+'transcutaneous electric'+'transcutaneous nerve'+'electric stimulation'+'electroanalgesia'+'body acupuncture'+'auricular acupuncture'+'scalp acupuncture'+'filiform needle'+'dry needle'+'auricular point sticking'+'acupressure'+'laser acupoint irradiation'+'transcutaneous electric stimulation treatment'+'transcutaneous electric stimulation nerve'+'transcutaneous electric stimulation'+'acupuncture treatment'+'acupuncture and moxibustion therapy'+'transcutaneous nerve electric stimulation'+'laser acupoint'-'animal'-'rat'-'mouse') OR

TI=('acupuncture'+'electroacupuncture'+'acupuncture and moxibustion'+'laser acupuncture'+'transcutaneous electric'+'transcutaneous nerve'+'electric stimulation'+'electroanalgesia'+'body acupuncture'+'auricular acupuncture'+'scalp acupuncture'+'filiform needle'+'dry needle'+'auricular point sticking'+'acupressure'+'laser acupoint irradiation'+'transcutaneous electric stimulation treatment'+'transcutaneous electric stimulation nerve'+'transcutaneous electric stimulation'+'acupuncture treatment'+'acupuncture and moxibustion therapy'+'transcutaneous nerve electric stimulation'+'laser acupoint'-'animal'-'rat'-'mouse') OR

KY=('acupuncture'+'electroacupuncture'+'acupuncture and moxibustion'+'laser acupuncture'+'transcutaneous electric'+'transcutaneous nerve'+'electric stimulation'+'electroanalgesia'+'body acupuncture'+'auricular acupuncture'+'scalp acupuncture'+'filiform needle'+'dry needle'+'auricular point sticking'+'acupressure'+'laser acupoint irradiation'+'transcutaneous electric stimulation treatment'+'transcutaneous electric stimulation nerve'+'transcutaneous electric stimulation'+'acupuncture treatment'+'acupuncture and moxibustion therapy'+'transcutaneous nerve electric stimulation'+'laser acupoint'-'animal'-'rat'-'mouse') OR

AB=('acupuncture'+'electroacupuncture'+'acupuncture and moxibustion'+'laser acupuncture'+'transcutaneous electric'+'transcutaneous nerve'+'electric stimulation'+'electroanalgesia'+'body acupuncture'+'auricular acupuncture'+'scalp acupuncture'+'filiform needle'+'dry needle'+'auricular point

sticking'+'acupressure'+'laser point irradiation'+'transcutaneous electric stimulation treatment'+'transcutaneous electric stimulation nerve'+'transcutaneous electric stimulation'+'acupuncture treatment'+'acupuncture and moxibustion therapy'+'transcutaneous nerve electric stimulation'+'laser acupoint'-'animal'-'rat'-'mouse')) AND (SU='random' or TI='random' or KY='random' or AB='random')

Note: SU=subject, TI=title, KY=keyword, AB=abstract

• Publication date: from 2015-01-01to 2019-12-31.

#### Chinese version

● 专业检索:

(SU=('针刺'+'电针'+'针灸'+'激光针'+'经皮电'+'经皮神经'+'电刺激'+'电止痛'+'体针'+'耳针'+'头针'+'毫针'+'干针'+'耳穴贴压'+'穴位按压'+'激光穴位照射'+'经皮电刺激治疗'+'经皮电刺激神经'+'经皮电刺激'+'针灸'+'激光针'+'经皮电'+'经皮神经'+'电刺激'+'电止痛'+'体针'+'耳针'+'头针'+'毫针'+'干针'+'耳穴贴压'+'穴位按压'+'激光穴位照射'+'经皮电刺激治疗'+'经皮电刺激神经'+'经皮电刺激'+'针刺治疗'+'针灸疗法'+'经皮神经电刺激'+'激光穴位'-'动物'-'鼠') OR KY=('针刺'+'电针'+'针灸'+'激光针'+'经皮电+'经皮神经电刺激'+'激光穴位'-'动物'-'鼠') OR KY=('针刺'+'电针'+'针灸'+'激光针'+'经皮电+'经皮神经'+'电刺激'+'电止痛'+'体针'+'耳针'+'头针'+'毫针'+'干针'+'耳穴贴压'+'穴位按压'+'激光穴位照射'+'经皮电刺激治疗'+'经皮电刺激神经'+'经皮电刺激'+'针刺治疗'+'针灸疗法'+'经皮神经电刺激'+'激光穴位'-'动物'-'鼠') OR AB=('针刺'+'电针'+'针灸'+'激光穴位压'+'染皮神经'+'电刺激'+'电止痛'+'体针'+'耳针'+'头针'+'毫针'+'干针'+'耳穴贴压'+'穴位按压'+'激光穴位照射'+'经皮电刺激治疗'+'经皮电刺激神经'+'经皮电刺激'+'针刺治疗'+'经皮电刺激神经'+'经皮电刺激'+'针刺治疗'+'经皮电刺激神经'+'经皮电刺激'+'针刺治疗'+'针灸疗法'+'经皮神经电刺激'+'激光穴位'-'动物'-'鼠')) AND (SU='随机' or TI='随机' or KY='随机' or AB='随机')

注: SU=主题, TI=题名, KY=关键词, AB=摘要

• 发表时间 (Publication date): 2015-01-01 至 2019-12-31.

# 5. Wanfang search strategy [Chinese database] English translation from Chinese version

Professional retrieval:

(Title OR Keyword:("electroacupuncture" OR "laser acupuncture" OR "transcutaneous electric" OR "transcutaneous nerve" OR "electric stimulation" OR "electroanalgesia" OR "body acupuncture" OR "auricular acupuncture" OR "scalp acupuncture" OR "filiform needle" OR "dry needle" OR "auricular point sticking" OR "acupressure" OR "laser acupoint irradiation" OR "tens" OR "analgesic skin electrical stimulation" OR "acupuncture treatment" OR "acupuncture and moxibustion therapy") OR Abstract:( "electroacupuncture" OR "laser acupuncture" OR "transcutaneous electric" OR "transcutaneous nerve" OR "electric stimulation" OR "electroanalgesia" OR "body acupuncture" OR "auricular acupuncture" OR "scalp acupuncture" OR "filiform needle" OR "dry needle" OR "auricular point sticking" OR "acupressure" OR "laser acupoint irradiation" OR "tens" OR "analgesic skin electrical stimulation" OR "acupuncture treatment" OR "acupuncture and

moxibustion therapy") OR Title OR Keyword:("acupuncture and moxibustion" OR "acupuncture") OR Abstract:( "acupuncture and moxibustion" OR "acupuncture"))

AND (Title OR Keyword: "random" OR Abstract: "random") NOT (Title OR

Keyword: ("animal" OR "rat" OR "mouse") OR Abstract: ("animal" OR "rat" OR "mouse"))

- Publication type: Journal articles.
- Publication date: from 2015to 2019.

#### Chinese version

● 专业检索:

(题名或关键词:("电针" OR "激光针" OR "经皮电" OR "经皮神经" OR "电刺激" OR "电 止痛" OR "体针" OR "耳针" OR "头针" OR "毫针" OR "干针" OR "耳穴贴压" OR "穴位 按压" OR "激光穴位照射" OR "tens" OR "镇痛皮肤电刺激" OR "针刺治疗" OR "针灸疗法") OR 摘要:("电针" OR "激光针" OR "经皮电" OR "经皮神经" OR "电刺激" OR "电 止痛" OR "体针" OR "事针" OR "头针" OR "毫针" OR "干针" OR "耳穴贴压" OR "穴位 按压" OR "激光穴位照射" OR "tens" OR "镇痛皮肤电刺激" OR "针刺治疗" OR "针灸疗法") OR 题名或关键词:("针灸" OR "针刺") OR 摘要:("针灸" OR "针刺")) AND (题名或关键词:"随机" OR 摘要:"随机") NOT (题名或关键词:("动物" OR "鼠") OR 摘要:("动物" OR "鼠"))

- 文献类型(Publication type): 期刊论文(Journal articles).
- 发表时间 (Publication date): 2015 至 2019.

#### 6. VIP search strategy [Chinese database]

#### **English translation from Chinese version**

Retrieval type search:

(U=(electroacupuncture OR laser acupuncture OR transcutaneous electric OR transcutaneous electric stimulation treatment OR transcutaneous electric stimulation nerve OR transcutaneous electric stimulation OR transcutaneous nerve OR electric stimulation OR electroanalgesia OR body acupuncture OR auricular acupuncture OR scalp acupuncture OR filiform needle OR dry needle OR auricular point sticking OR acupressure OR laser acupoint irradiation OR "tens" OR analgesic skin electrical stimulation OR acupuncture treatment OR acupuncture and moxibustion therapy OR transcutaneous nerve electric stimulation OR laser acupoint) OR M=(acupuncture and moxibustion OR acupuncture) OR R=(acupuncture and moxibustion OR acupuncture)) AND (M=random OR R=random) NOT (M=(animal OR rat OR mouse) OR R=(animal OR rat OR mouse))

Note: U=all fields, M=title/keyword, R=abstract

• publication date: from 2015 to 2019.

#### Chinese version

● 检索式检索:

(U=(电针 OR 激光针 OR 经皮电 OR 经皮电刺激治疗 OR 经皮电刺激神经 OR 经皮电刺激 OR 经皮神经 OR 电刺激 OR 电止痛 OR 体针 OR 耳针 OR 头针 OR

毫针 OR 干针 OR 耳穴贴压 OR 穴位按压 OR 激光穴位照射 OR "tens" OR 镇痛皮肤电刺激 OR 针刺治疗 OR 针灸疗法 OR 经皮神经电刺激 OR 激光穴位) OR M=(针灸 OR 针刺) OR R=(针灸 OR 针刺)) AND (M=随机 OR R=随机) NOT (M=(动物 OR 鼠) OR R=(动物 OR 鼠))

注:字段标识符 U=任意字段、M=题名或关键词、R=文摘

● 时间限定 (publication date): 2015 至 2019.

#### 7. CBM search strategy [Chinese database]

#### **English translation from Chinese version:**

- #1 【Rapid retrieal】 acupuncture OR electroacupuncture OR auricular acupuncture OR scalp acupuncture OR body acupuncture OR filiform needle OR acupuncture and moxibustion OR acupuncture and moxibustion therapy OR transcutaneous nerve electric stimulation OR transcutaneous nerve OR electric stimulation OR laser acupuncture OR auricular point sticking OR dry needle OR acupressure OR laser acupoint irradiation OR acupuncture therapy OR electric stimulation therapy (publication date: 2015-2019)
- #2 【Subject retrieval】 acupoint, auricular acupuncture (publication date: 2015-2019)
- #3 【Rapid retrieal】 randomized controlled trial OR randomized controlled study OR randomized controlled clinical OR multicenter study OR multicenter clinical OR multicenter (publication date: 2015-2019)
- #4 [Rapid retrieal] animal OR rat OR mouse (publication date: 2015-2019)
- #5 (#1 or #2) and #3
- #6 (#1 or #2) and publication type (randomized controlled trial OR multicenter study)
- #7 (#5 or #6) not #4

#### Chinese version:

- #1【快速检索状态】: 针刺 OR 电针 OR 耳针 OR 头针 OR 体针 OR 毫针 OR 针灸 OR 针灸疗法 OR 经皮神经电刺激 OR 经皮神经 OR 电刺激 OR 激光针 OR 耳穴贴压 OR 干针 OR 穴位按压 OR 激光穴位照射 OR 针刺疗法 OR 电刺激疗法 (时间: 2015-2019)
- #2【主题检索状态】: 穴位, 耳针 (时间: 2015-2019)
- #3【快速检索状态】: 随机对照试验 OR 随机对照研究 OR 随机对照临床 OR 多中心研究 OR 多中心临床 OR 多中心(时间: 2015-2019)
- #4【快速检索状态】: 动物 OR 大鼠 OR 小鼠 OR 鼠(时间: 2015-2019)
- #5 (#1 or #2) and #3
- #6 (#1 or #2) and 文献类型限定(随机对照试验、多中心研究)
- #7 (#5 or #6) not #4

# eAppendix 2

eAppendix 2 Independent variables ranked by importance

Order	Independent variable	Category
		1=Probably yes
1	Allocation concealment	2=Probably no
		1=Penetrating needle sham
		2=Non-penetrating needling sham
		3=Non-needle sham
		4=High-intensity control (No sham)
		5=Usual care (No sham)
2	Control group*	6=Low-intensity control (No sham)
		1=Low
3	Total number of acupuncture treatments	2=High
		1=Probably yes
4	Randomization sequence generation	2=Probably no
		1=Manual acupuncture
		2=Electro-acupuncture
		3=Laser acupuncture
		4=TEAS
5	Acupuncture stimulation	5=Acupressure
		1=Penetrating acupuncture
6	Acupuncture type	2=Non-penetrating acupuncture
		1=Probably yes
7	Blinding of outcome assessors	2=Probably no
		1=Reported
8	Trial registration	2=Not reported
		1=101-149
		2=150-499
9	Sample size	3=>=500

		1=Musculoskeletal system
		2=Neurology
		3=Gastroenterology
		4=Urology
		5=Mental health
		6=Obstetrics and gynecology
		7=Dermatology
		8=Respirology
		9=Sleep-wake disorders
		10=Cardiovascular disorders
		11=Ophthalmology
		12=Endocrinology and nutrition
		13=Oncology
		14=Trauma and injuries
		15=Otorhinolaryngology
		16=Acupuncture anesthesia
10	Therapeutic areas	17=Pediatrics
		1=Probably yes
11	Blinding of participants	2=Probably no
		1=Low
12	Frequency of treatment sessions	2=High
		1=Pain
		2=Quality of life (e.g., general quality of life,
		disease specific quality of life)
		3=Function
		4=Non-pain Symptoms (such as anxiety,
		depression, etc.)
13	Type of outcome	5=Major events
		1=Western countries (countries in Europe,
		America, Australia and Africa)
		2=Eastern countries (Asian countries)
14	Country	3= both Western and Eastern countries
	·	1=Fixed formula
		2=Flexible formula
15	Acupuncture regimen	3=Individualized formula
		1=Local points only
		2=Distal points only
		3=Both local and distal points
16	Location of needles	(only for body acupuncture)
16	Location of needles	(only for body acupuncture)

		1=Systematic acupuncture or TCM
		education (undergraduate, graduate,
		diploma training)
		2=Short term training (none of the
17	Education or training of practitioner	training mention in 1)
17	Education of training of practitioner	1=Single center
18	Number of centers	2=Multicenter
10	Number of centers	1=1-4
		2=5-9
		3=10-14
		4=15-20
10	Number of needles	
19	Number of needles	5=>20
20	Donald of Control of	1=Deep needling (> 10mm)
20	Depth of insertion	2=Superficial needling (< 10mm)
		1=Yes
		2=No
0.1	Acupuncture manipulation after needles	3=Not reported
21	insertion	4=Not applicable
22	AT THE STATE OF	1=>20min
22	Needle retention time	2=<20min
		1=Strong stimulation
		2=Moderate stimulation
		3=Mild stimulation
23	Intensity of stimulation	4=Not reported
		1=<5y
		2=5-10y
24	Acupuncturist experience	3 = > 10y
		1=Yes (trialists allowed or encouraged
		the interactions)
	Acupuncture-specific patient-practitioner	2=No (the interactions were prohibited)
25	interactions	3=Not reported
		1=Acupuncturist
		2=Others
26	Clinical specialty of practitioner	3=Not reported
		1=English
		2=Chinese
27	Publication language	3=Other language
		1=Expert consensus
		2=Textbook or literature
		3=Clinical experience
28	Source of acupuncture regimen	4=Mix of some

		5=Unclear
		1=Reported
29	Needling angle	2=Not reported
		1=Reported
30	Needling direction	2=Not reported
		1=Yes
		2=No
		3=Not reported
31	De qi	4=Not applicable
		1=Reported
32	Patient expectations	2=Not reported
		1=Reported
33	Funding availability	2=Not reported
		1=TCM acupuncture (TCMA)
		2=Japanese acupuncture (JA)
		3=Korean acupuncture (KA)
		4=Western medical acupuncture (WMA)
		5=Five Element acupuncture (FEA)
		6=Scalp stimulation
		7=Auricular acupuncture
34	Style of acupuncture	8=Dry needling
		1=National funding
		2=Foundation funding
		3=Provincial funding
		4=Institutional funding
		5=For-profit funding
35	Type of funding	6=Not reported
		1= CAM (Complementary and
		Alternative Medicine) journals
36	Type of Journal	2=Non- CAM journals
		-
		1=0
		2=Between 0 and1.99
		3=Between 2 and 4.99
37	Journal Impact factor	4=No less than 5
		1=Acute or perioperative issue
38	Course of diseases	2=Chronic disease
1 30	Course of discuses	2-Cinome disease

		1=Acupuncture vs no intervention or
		waiting list
		2=Acupuncture vs sham acupuncture
		3=Acupuncture +other intervention vs
		other intervention
		4=Acupuncture +other intervention vs
39	Type of comparison	sham acupuncture +other intervention
		1=Yes, stating missing data occur
		2=No, stating missing data do not occur
40	Missing data reported	3=No explicit statement
		1=>20%
		2=<=20%
41	Proportion of missing data	3=Not reported
		1=Only stratification randomization used
		2=Only block randomization used
		3=Both stratification and block
		randomization used
42	Stratification or block of randomization	4=Not reported
		1=Yes
		2=No
43	Ever received acupuncture	3=Not reported
		1=1-4 weeks
		2=5-8 weeks
		3=9-12 weeks
44	Duration of treatment for chronic diseases	4=>12 weeks
		1=1 day
45	Duration of treatment for acute disease	2=>1 day
		1=1-3 months
		2=3-6 months
46	Longest follow-up time	3=>6 months

<sup>\*</sup>When one study included both sham and other interventions as comparators, we classified the category based on the sham type.

We classified sham acupuncture into three types: penetrating needle sham, non-penetrating needle sham and non-needle sham.

## eAppendix 3

#### $eAppendix\ 3\ Excluded\ independent\ variables\ from\ multivariable\ analysis$

Due	e to missing factor data
1	Total number of acupuncture treatments
	Acupuncture stimulation (manual acupuncture, electroacupuncture, laser
2	acupuncture, TEAS, acupressure)
3	Source of acupuncture regimen
4	Duration of treatment_chronic
5	Duration of treatment_acute
6	Education or training of practitioners
7	Acupuncturist experience
8	Type of comparisons
9	Longest follow-up time
10	Missing data reported
11	The proportion of missing data
12	Type of funding
13	Stratification or block randomization
14	Needle retention time
15	Needling angle
16	Depth of insertion
17	Number of needles used
18	Acupuncture-specific patient-practitioner interactions
19	Ever received acupuncture
20	Location of needles
21	The clinical specialty of practitioners
22	Acupuncture manipulation after needles inserted
23	Needling direction
24	Intensity of stimulation
25	De qi
26	Patient expectations
Du	e to collinearity
27	Language of publication
28	Journal impact factors
29	Trial registration
30	Therapeutic areas
31	Blinding of participants

# eAppendix 4 eAppendix 4 Classification of acupuncture treatment frequency, duration and total number of treatments

Category	Low	High
Frequency of treatment sessions		
Acupressure	<=3/day	>3/day
Non-acupressure + Acute	1/day	>1/day
Non-acupressure + Chronic	<=3/week	>3/w
Duration of treatments		
Acute diseases	1day	>1day
Chronic diseases	<=4 weeks	>4 weeks
Total number of acupuncture treatments		
Acute + Acupressure	<=3	>3
Acute + non-acupressure	1	>1
Chronic + Acupressure	<=12	>12
Chronic + non-acupressure	<=12	>12

# eAppendix 5

15

Type of outcome

<u>eA</u> p	opendix 5 Independent variables included in multivariable analysis
1 R	Random sequence generation
2 A	Allocation concealment
3 (	Course of diseases (chronic or acute)
4 A	Acupuncture stimulation
5 A	Acupuncture regimen
6 F	Frequency of treatment sessions
7 S	ample size
8 N	Number of centers
9 T	Type of control
10 S	tyle of acupuncture
11 0	Country
12 T	Type of journal
13 F	funding availability
14 B	Blinding of outcome assessors

## eTables

eTable 1.1 Basic characteristics of included st	tudies (n=584)
Characteristic	No. (%)
Year of publication	
2015	67 (11.5)
2016	96 (16.4)
2017	133 (22.8)
2018	127 (21.8)
2019	161 (27.6)
Regions	
Eastern regions (Asian countries) <sup>a</sup>	554 (94.9)
Western regions (countries in Europe, America, Australia, and Africa) b	29 (5.0)
Both eastern and western regions <sup>c</sup>	1 (0.2)
Language	
Chinese	506 (86.6)
English	76 (13.0)
Persian	2 (0.3)
Type of Journal	
Complementary and Alternative Medicine	297 (50.9)
Non-Complementary and Alternative Medicine	287 (49.1)
Journal impact factor	
0	517 (88.5)
0.1-1.99	17 (2.9)
2-4.99	37 (6.3)
>5	13 (2.2)
Funding	
Non for profit	
National	57 (9.8)
Provincial	146 (25.0)
Institutional	20 (3.4)
Foundational	5 (0.9)
For-profit	0
Not reported	356 (60.9)
Randomized sample size	
101-150	418 (71.6)
151-499	156 (26.7)
>=500	10 (1.7)
Trial registration	
Reported	57 (9.8)
Not reported	527 (90.2)
Informed consent with patients	
Reported	254 (43.5)
Not reported	330 (56.5)
Compensation for participants	
Reported	2 (0.3)
Not reported	582 (99.7)
Number of centers	

Multicenter	36 (6.2)
Single-center	546 (93.5)
Not reported	2 (0.3)
Primary analysis	
Intention to treat analysis (Modified intention to treat)	37 (6.3)
Per protocol analysis	1 (0.2)
No explicit statement	546 (93.5)
Methods dealing with missing participant data (MPD)	
Data deletion	3 (0.5)
Single imputation	9(1.5)
Mean imputation	1 (0.2)
Last Observation Caring Forward	5 (0.9)
Regression for MPD	1 (0.2)
worst-case scenarios	1 (0.2)
best- and worst-case scenarios	1 (0.2)
Multiple imputation	9 (1.5)
Mixed effect model for missing data	2 (0.3)
No missing data	27 (4.6)
No explicit statement	534 (91.4)

<sup>\*</sup> Each study can contribute more than one estimate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Eastern regions include China(n=540), Iran(n=11), South Korea(n=1), India(n=1) and Malaysia(n=1).

b Western regions include USA (n=9), Spain(n=4), Australia(n=4), Brazil(n=3), German(n=2), Turkey(n=2), Denmark, France, Sweden, UK, Australia and Zealand.

c Both eastern and western regions include one multicenter study conducted in China and the USA.

eTable 1.2 Clinical characteristics of in	cluded studies (n=584)
Characteristic	No. (%)
Therapeutic area *	
Neurology	203 (34.8)
Gastroenterology	77 (13.2)
Musculoskeletal system	58 (9.9)
Obstetrics and gynecology	54 (9.2)
Mental health	53 (9.1)
Trauma and injuries	34 (5.8)
Urology	27 (4.6)
Respirology	18 (3.1)
Sleep-wake disorders	15 (2.6)
Cardiovascular disorders	12 (2.1)
Acupuncture anesthesia	10 (1.7)
Endocrinology and nutrition	8 (1.4)
Oncology	8 (1.4)
Dermatology	4 (0.7)
Otorhinolaryngology	2 (0.3)
Ophthalmology	1 (0.2)
Pediatrics	1 (0.3)
Course of disease	
Acute (related to procedure such as surgery)	172 (29.4)
Chronic	412 (70.6)
Patient expectation	
Reported	8 (1.4)
Not reported	576 (98.6)
Ever received acupuncture	
Yes	3 (0.5)
No	5 (0.9)
Not reported	576 (98.6)
Style of acupuncture*	
Traditional Chinese acupuncture	444 (76)
Auricular acupuncture	78 (13.4)
Western medical acupuncture	24 (4.1)
Scalp acupuncture	12 (2.1)
Dry needling	2 (0.3)
Not reported	24 (4.1)
Acupuncture stimulation*	242 (52.6)
Manual acupuncture	313 (53.6)
Acupressure	131 (22.4)
Electro-acupuncture Transcutaneous Floatrical Acupaint Stimulation (TEAS)	99 (17.0)
Transcutaneous Electrical Acupoint Stimulation (TEAS)	44 (7.5)
Laser acupuncture	1 (0.2)
Source of acupuncture regimen	C1 (10 A)
Textbook or literature	61 (10.4)
Expert consensus  Clinical experience	9 (1.5)
Clinical experience	4 (0.7)
Mix of some	12 (2.1)
Not reported Acupuncture regimen*	498 (85.3)
	461 (79.0)
Fixed regimen	461 (78.9) 93 (15.9)
Flexible regimen	• • •
Individualized regimen	29 (5.0)
Not reported	1 (0.2)
Location of acupuncture points*	76 (12.0)
Local	76 (13.0)
Distal Distal	64 (11.0)
Both local and distal	292 (50.0)
Not reported	1 (0.2)

	154 (26.4)
Not applicable	154 (26.4)
Number of needles used*	F.4.(0.2)
1 to 4	54 (9.2)
_5 to 9	116 (19.9)
10 to 14	117 (20.0)
15 to 20	70 (12.0)
>20	38 (6.5)
Not reported	18 (3.1)
Not applicable	175 (30.0)
De qi	
Yes	265 (45.4)
No	2 (0.3)
Not reported	80 (13.7)
Not applicable	237 (40.6)
Depth of insertion*	
Deep needling (> 10mm)	153 (26.2)
Superficial needling (< 10mm)	14 (2.4)
Not reported	244 (41.8)
Not applicable	175 (30.0)
Acupuncture manipulation after needles inserted*	
Yes	267 (45.7)
No	9 (1.5)
Not reported	134 (22.9)
Not applicable	175 (30.0)
The intensity of stimulation*	
Strong stimulation	15 (2.6)
Moderate stimulation	4 (0.7)
Mild stimulation	2 (0.3)
Not reported	566 (96.9)
Needling angle*	300 (30.5)
Reported	146 (25.0)
Not reported	264 (45.2)
Not applicable	175 (30.0)
Needling direction*	173 (30.0)
Reported	87 (14.9)
Not reported	323 (55.3)
Not applicable	175 (30.0)
Needle retention time*	173 (30.0)
<=20 min	116 (19.9)
> 20 min	296 (50.7)
Not reported	174 (29.8)
Not reported  Not applicable	114 (19.5)
Frequency of treatment sessions*a	114 (19.5)
• •	190 (20.9)
Low	180 (30.8) 356 (61.0)
High Not applicable	8 (1.4)
Not applicable	
Not reported	43 (7.4)
Duration of treatment for chronic diseases a (n=412)	227 (EE 1)
1-4 weeks	227 (55.1)
5-8 weeks	79 (19.2) 53 (12.9)
9-12 weeks	22 11 / 91
> 12 weeks	22 (5.3)
Not reported	
Not reported  Duration of treatment for acute or perioperative issues*a (n=172)	22 (5.3) 31 (7.5)
Not reported  Duration of treatment for acute or perioperative issues*a (n=172)  One day	22 (5.3) 31 (7.5) 85 (49.4)
Not reported  Duration of treatment for acute or perioperative issues*a (n=172)  One day  > 1day	22 (5.3) 31 (7.5) 85 (49.4) 53 (30.8)
Not reported  Duration of treatment for acute or perioperative issues*a (n=172)  One day  > 1day  Not reported	22 (5.3) 31 (7.5) 85 (49.4)
Not reported  Duration of treatment for acute or perioperative issues*a (n=172)  One day  > 1day	22 (5.3) 31 (7.5) 85 (49.4) 53 (30.8) 34 (19.8)
Not reported  Duration of treatment for acute or perioperative issues*a (n=172)  One dav  > 1dav  Not reported	22 (5.3) 31 (7.5) 85 (49.4) 53 (30.8)

Maria de Parista	7 (1.2)
Not applicable	103 (17.6)
Not reported  Acupuncturist experience (years)	103 (17.0)
	22 (2.2)
<=5	22 (3.8)
_ 5-10y	1 (0.2)
>=10y	6 (1.0)
Not reported	555 (95.0)
Education or training of the practitioner	
Systematic acupuncture or Traditional Chinese Medicine Education	37 (6.3)
Short term training	55 (9.4)
Not reported	492 (84.3)
The clinical specialty of the practitioner	
Acupuncturist	45 (7.7)
Others	65 (11.1)
Not reported	474 (81.2)
Acupuncture-specific patient-practitioner interactions	
Yes (trialists allowed or encouraged the interactions)	73 (12.5)
No (the interactions were prohibited)	43 (7.4)
Not reported	468 (80.1)
Type of control group*	
Penetrating needle sham	25 (4.3)
Non-penetrating needle sham	13 (2.2)
Non-needle sham	41 (7.0)
High-intensity control (No sham) b	395 (67.6)
Usual care control (No sham)	145 (24.8)
Low-intensity control (No sham) c	2 (0.3)
Type of comparisons*	
Acupuncture vs. waitlist or no intervention	3 (0.5)
Acupuncture vs. sham acupuncture	43 (7.4)
Acupuncture + other interventions .vs. other interventions	528 (90.4)
Acupuncture + other interventions vs. sham acupuncture + other	36 (6.2)
Type of outcome*	
Pain	177 (30.3)
Non-pain symptoms	267 (45.7)
Function	314 (53.8)
Quality of life	46 (7.9)
Major events	54 (9.2)
Longest follow-up time	
1-3 months	52 (8.9)
3-6 months	18 (3.1)
>6 months	7 (1.2)
End of treatment	507 (86.8)
End of deduction	307 (00.0)

<sup>\*</sup> Each study can contribute more than one estimate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> We classified the frequency of treatment sessions, duration of treatments, and the total number of treatments into high and low according to the categories of type of acupuncture stimulation and course of diseases. Details of criteria were provided in eAppendix 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> In the high-intensity control group, patients received the specific protocol-guided treatment with identical aims to acupuncture treatment.

c In the low-intensity control, some active treatments are not permitted. For example, in an RCT where acupuncture was the intervention for low back pain, patients in the waitlist control group could take oral nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs but prohibitted to take analgestics for central nervous systems.

Random sequence generation  Inadequate or unclear 246 (42.1) Adequate 338 (57.9)  Allocation concealment  Inadequate or unclear 536 (91.8) Adequate 48 (8.2)  Blinding of outcome assessors  No and probably no 521 (89.2) Yes and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Blinding of participants*  No and probably no 536 (91.8)  Ves and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Success of participants blinding**  Yes and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Success of participants blinding**  Yes 7 (70.0) No 3 (30.0)  Stratification or block randomization  Only used Stratification 4 (0.7) Only used Block randomization 14 (2.4)  Stratification and block randomization 17 (2.9) Not reported 549 (94.0)  Missing data reported  Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1)  Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)  Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6) < 20% 94 (16.1) > 20% 6 (1.0)	eTable 1.3 Risk of bias of included studies (n=584)		
Inadequate or unclear Adequate	Characteristic	No. (%)	
Adequate 338 (57.9)  Allocation concealment  Inadequate or unclear 536 (91.8)  Adequate 48 (8.2)  Blinding of outcome assessors  No and probably no 521 (89.2)  Yes and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Blinding of participants*  No and probably no 536 (91.8)  Yes and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Success of participants blinding**  Yes 7 (70.0)  No 3 (30.0)  Stratification or block randomization  Only used Stratification or block randomization  Only used Block randomization 14 (2.4)  Stratification and block randomization 17 (2.9)  Not reported 549 (94.0)  Missing data reported  Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1)  Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)  Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6)  < 20% 94 (16.1)  > 20% 6 (1.0)	Random sequence generation		
Allocation concealment  Inadequate or unclear 536 (91.8) Adequate 48 (8.2)  Blinding of outcome assessors  No and probably no 521 (89.2) Yes and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Blinding of participants*  No and probably no 536 (91.8) Yes and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Success of participants blinding** Yes 7 (70.0) No 3 (30.0)  Stratification or block randomization Only used Stratification of block randomization 14 (2.4) Stratification and block randomization 17 (2.9) Not reported 549 (94.0)  Missing data reported Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1) Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Proported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6) < 20% 94 (16.1) > 20% 6 (1.0)	Inadequate or unclear	246 (42.1)	
Inadequate or unclear Adequate Adequate Adequate Adequate Adequate Blinding of outcome assessors No and probably no S21 (89.2) Yes and probably yes G3 (10.8) Blinding of participants* No and probably no S36 (91.8) Yes and probably no S36 (91.8) Yes and probably yes G3 (10.8) Success of participants blinding** Yes 7 (70.0) No No 3 (30.0) Stratification or block randomization Only used Stratification Ad (0.7) Only used Stratification Ad (2.4) Stratification and block randomization 17 (2.9) Not reported S49 (94.0) Missing data reported Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) Not reported Ada (2.7) Not reported Ada (2.7) Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Not reported Ada (2.7) Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, State MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, State MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, State MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, State MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, State MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, State MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, State MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow Yes, State MPD did not Occur (in	Adequate	338 (57.9)	
Adequate 48 (8.2)  Blinding of outcome assessors  No and probably no 521 (89.2)  Yes and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Blinding of participants*  No and probably no 536 (91.8)  Yes and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Success of participants blinding**  Yes 7 (70.0)  No 3 (30.0)  Stratification or block randomization  Only used Stratification or block randomization 4 (0.7)  Only used Block randomization 14 (2.4)  Stratification and block randomization 17 (2.9)  Not reported 549 (94.0)  Missing data reported  Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1)  Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)  Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6)  < 20% 94 (16.1)  > 20% 6 (1.0)	Allocation concealment		
Section   Sect	Inadequate or unclear	536 (91.8)	
No and probably no 521 (89.2)  Yes and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Blinding of participants*  No and probably no 536 (91.8)  Yes and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Success of participants' blinding**  Yes 7 (70.0)  No 3 (30.0)  Stratification or block randomization  Only used Stratification 4 (0.7)  Only used Block randomization 14 (2.4)  Stratification and block randomization 17 (2.9)  Not reported 549 (94.0)  Missing data reported  Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1)  Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)  Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6)  < 20% 94 (16.1)  > 20% 6 (1.0)	Adequate	48 (8.2)	
Yes and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Blinding of participants*  No and probably no 536 (91.8)  Yes and probably yes 63 (10.8)  Success of participants blinding**  Yes 7 (70.0)  No 3 (30.0)  Stratification or block randomization  Only used Stratification 4 (0.7)  Only used Block randomization 14 (2.4)  Stratification and block randomization 17 (2.9)  Not reported 549 (94.0)  Missing data reported  Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1)  Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)  Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6)  < 20% 94 (16.1)  > 20% 6 (1.0)	Blinding of outcome assessors		
Blinding of participants*  No and probably no  Yes and probably yes  Success of participants' blinding**  Yes  7 (70.0)  No  3 (30.0)  Stratification or block randomization  Only used Stratification  4 (0.7)  Only used Block randomization  14 (2.4)  Stratification and block randomization  17 (2.9)  Not reported  549 (94.0)  Missing data reported  Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram)  Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow  The proportion of missing data  0%  27 (4.6)  Not reported  94 (16.1)  > 20%  94 (16.1)	No and probably no	521 (89.2)	
No and probably no       536 (91.8)         Yes and probably yes       63 (10.8)         Success of participants' blinding**         Yes       7 (70.0)         No       3 (30.0)         Stratification or block randomization         Only used Stratification       4 (0.7)         Only used Block randomization       14 (2.4)         Stratification and block randomization       17 (2.9)         Not reported       549 (94.0)         Missing data reported         Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram)       100 (17.1)         Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)       Not reported         Not reported       457 (78.3)         The proportion of missing data         0%       27 (4.6)         < 20%	Yes and probably yes	63 (10.8)	
Yes and probably yes  Success of participants blinding**  Yes 7 (70.0)  No 3 (30.0)  Stratification or block randomization  Only used Stratification 4 (0.7)  Only used Block randomization 14 (2.4)  Stratification and block randomization 17 (2.9)  Not reported 549 (94.0)  Missing data reported  Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1)  Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)  Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6)  < 20% 94 (16.1)  > 20% 6 (1.0)	Blinding of participants*		
Yes 7 (70.0) No 3 (30.0)  Stratification or block randomization Only used Stratification 4 (0.7) Only used Block randomization 14 (2.4) Stratification and block randomization 17 (2.9) Not reported 549 (94.0)  Missing data reported Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1) Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6) Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data 0% 27 (4.6) < 20% 94 (16.1) > 20% 6 (1.0)	No and probably no	536 (91.8)	
Yes       7 (70.0)         No       3 (30.0)         Stratification or block randomization         Only used Stratification       4 (0.7)         Only used Block randomization       14 (2.4)         Stratification and block randomization       17 (2.9)         Not reported       549 (94.0)         Missing data reported         Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram)       100 (17.1)         Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)         Not reported       457 (78.3)         The proportion of missing data       27 (4.6)         0%       27 (4.6)         < 20%	Yes and probably yes	63 (10.8)	
No 3 (30.0)  Stratification or block randomization  Only used Stratification 4 (0.7)  Only used Block randomization 14 (2.4)  Stratification and block randomization 17 (2.9)  Not reported 549 (94.0)  Missing data reported  Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1)  Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)  Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6)  < 20% 94 (16.1)  > 20% 6 (1.0)	Success of participants' blinding**		
Stratification or block randomization  Only used Stratification 4 (0.7)  Only used Block randomization 14 (2.4)  Stratification and block randomization 17 (2.9)  Not reported 549 (94.0)  Missing data reported  Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1)  Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)  Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6)  < 20% 94 (16.1)  > 20% 6 (1.0)	Yes	7 (70.0)	
Only used Stratification 4 (0.7) Only used Block randomization 14 (2.4) Stratification and block randomization 17 (2.9) Not reported 549 (94.0)  Missing data reported  Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1) Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6) Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6) < 20% 94 (16.1) > 20% 6 (1.0)	No	3 (30.0)	
Only used Block randomization       14 (2.4)         Stratification and block randomization       17 (2.9)         Not reported       549 (94.0)         Missing data reported	Stratification or block randomization		
Stratification and block randomization       17 (2.9)         Not reported       549 (94.0)         Missing data reported         Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram)       100 (17.1)         Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)       27 (4.6)         Not reported       457 (78.3)         The proportion of missing data       27 (4.6)         < 20%	Only used Stratification	4 (0.7)	
Not reported 549 (94.0)  Missing data reported  Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1)  Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)  Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6)  < 20% 94 (16.1)  > 20% 6 (1.0)	Only used Block randomization	14 (2.4)	
Missing data reported  Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1)  Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)  Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6)  < 20% 94 (16.1)  > 20% 6 (1.0)	Stratification and block randomization	17 (2.9)	
Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram) 100 (17.1)  Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)  Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6)  < 20% 94 (16.1)  > 20% 6 (1.0)	Not reported	549 (94.0)	
Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow 27 (4.6)  Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6)  < 20% 94 (16.1)  > 20% 6 (1.0)	Missing data reported		
Not reported 457 (78.3)  The proportion of missing data  0% 27 (4.6)  < 20% 94 (16.1)  > 20% 6 (1.0)	Yes, state MPD occurs (in the main text or CONSORT flow diagram)	100 (17.1)	
The proportion of missing data  0%	Yes, state MPD did not occur (in the main text or the CONSORT flow	27 (4.6)	
0%     27 (4.6)       < 20%	Not reported	457 (78.3)	
< 20% 94 (16.1) > 20% 6 (1.0)	The proportion of missing data		
>20% 6 (1.0)	0%	27 (4.6)	
	< 20%	94 (16.1)	
Not reported 457 (78.3)	>20%	6 (1.0)	
	Not reported	457 (78.3)	

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{\star}}$  Each study can contribute more than one estimate.

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{**}}$  Only ten studies counducted test the success of participants' blingding

eTable 2 Magnitude of significant factors impacting treatment effect in multivariable analysis

Differences of

<0.001
<0.001
<0.001
<0.001
0.01
0.06
0.06
0.27
0.77
0.35
0.01
<0.001
0.02
0.04

SMD=standardized mean difference; CI=confidence interval; Vs=versus

analysis)		
Predictors	Differences of adjusted SMD (95% CI), P value	
Total number of acupuncture treatments		
High vs low	0.48 (0.33 to 0.62), <0.001	
Type of acupuncture stimulation		
Manual acupuncture vs electro-acupuncture	0.21 (0.06 to 0.37), 0.008	
Manual acupuncture vs Laser acupuncture	-0.37(-1.73 to 0.99), 0.60	
Manual acupuncture vs TEAS	0.64(0.41to 0.86), <0.001	
Manual acupuncture vs acupressure	0.41(0.26 to 0.56), <0.001	
Electro-acupuncture vs Laser acupuncture	-0.58 (-1.95 to 0.78), 0.40	
Electro-acupuncture vs TEAS	0.42(0.17 to 0.68), 0.001	
Electro-acupuncture vs acupressure	0.19(0.01 to 0.38), 0.04	
Laser acupuncture vs TEAS	1.01(-0.37 to 2.38), 0.15	
Laser acupuncture vs acupressure	0.78(-0.59 to 2.14), 0.26	
TEAS vs acupressure	-0.23(-0.47 to 0.01), 0.06	
Source of acupuncture regimen		
Expert consensus vs textbook or literature	-0.56(-0.87 to -0.26), 0.001	
Expert consensus vs clinical experience	-0.21(-0.73 to 0.31), 0.42	
Expert consensus vs mix of some	-0.10(-0.48 to 0.28), 0.60	
Textbook or literature vs clinical experience	0.35(-0.10 to 0.80), 0.12	
Textbook or literature vs mix of some	0.46(0.19 to 0.74), 0.001	
Clinical experience vs mix of some	0.11(-0.39 to 0.61), 0.66	
Duration of treatment_chronic		
1-4 weeks vs 5-8 weeks	0.28(0.09 to 0.48), 0.005	
1-4 weeks vs 9-12 weeks	0.28(0.06 to 0.51), 0.01	
1-4 weeks vs > 12 weeks	0.39(0.05 to 0.73), 0.03	
5-8 weeks vs 9-12 weeks	-0.002(-0.27 to 0.26), 0.99	
5-8 weeks vs > 12 weeks	0.11(-0.26 to 0.47), 0.57	
9-12 weeks vs > 12 weeks	0.11(-0.28 to 0.49), 0.58	
Patient expectation		
Not reported vs reported	0.79(0.33 to 1.25), <0.001	

Education or training of practitioner	
Systematic acupuncture or TCM education (undergraduate, graduate, diploma training) vs short term training (none of the training mention in 1)	-0.22(-0.44 to -0.01), 0.04
Type of comparisons	
Acupuncture vs waitlist or no intervention vs Acupuncture vs sham acupuncture	0.04(-0.52 to 0.59), 0.90
Acupuncture vs waitlist or no intervention vs Acupuncture + other interventions vs other interventions	-0.40(-1.00 to 0.17), 0.17
Acupuncture vs waitlist or no intervention vs Acupuncture + other interventions vs sham acupuncture + other interventions	0.09(-0.51 to 0.70), 0.77
Acupuncture vs sham acupuncture vs Acupuncture + other interventions vs other interventions	-0.44(-0.63 to -0.24), <0.001
Acupuncture vs sham acupuncture vs Acupuncture + other interventions vs sham acupuncture + other interventions	0.05(-0.23 to 0.34), 0.70
Acupuncture + other interventions vs other interventions vs Acupuncture + other interventions vs sham acupuncture + other interventions	0.49(0.28 to 0.70), <0.001
Blinding of participants	
Probably no vs probably yes	0.49(0.33 to 0.65), <0.001
Therapeutic areas	
Gastroenterology vs Musculoskeletal system	-0.34(-0.59 to -0.09), 0.01
Gastroenterology vs Neurology	-0.52(-0.71 to -0.34), <0.001
Gastroenterology vs Respirology	-0.42(-0.82 to -0.01), 0.04
Dermatology vs Endocrinology and nutrition	0.95(0.01 to 1.89), 0.05
Endocrinology and nutrition vs Musculoskeletal system	-0.63(-1.11 to -0.16), 0.01
Endocrinology and nutrition vs Neurology	-0.82(-1.23 to -0.37), <0.001
Endocrinology and nutrition vs Respirology	-0.71(-1.28 to -0.14), 0.02
Obstetrics and gynecology vs Musculoskeletal system	-0.38(-0.73 to -0.04), 0.03
Obstetrics and gynecology vs Neurology	-0.57(-0.87 to -0.27), <0.001
Mental health vs Neurology	-0.42(-0.63 to -0.21), <0.001
Musculoskeletal system vs Oncology	0.69(0.14 to 1.23), 0.01
Musculoskeletal system vs Obstetrics and	0.40(0.13 to 0.67), 0.003

gynecology		
Musculoskeletal system vs Trauma and	0.30(0.00 to 0.70), 0.01	
injuries	0.39(0.09 to 0.70), 0.01	
Oncology vs Neurology	-0.87(-1.39 to -0.35), 0.001	
Oncology vs Respirology	-0.76(-1.39 to -0.13), 0.02	
Neurology vs Obstetrics and gynecology	0.59(0.38 to 0.80), <0.001	
Neurology vs Sleep-wake disorders	0.52(0.14 to 0.89), 0.007	
Neurology vs Respirology	0.58(0.33 to 0.84), <0.001	
Respirology vs Trauma and injuries	0.47(0.03 to 0.91), 0.04	
Longest follow-up time		
1-3months vs 3-6months	0.14(-0.25 to 0.53), 0.48	
1-3months vs >6months	0.02(-0.51to 0.55), 0.94	
1-3months vs end of treatment	-0.41(-0.61 to -0.21), <0.001	
3-6months vs >6months	-0.12(-0.71 to 0.48), 0.70	
3-6months vs end of treatment	-0.55(-0.89 to -0.20), 0.002	
>6months vs end of treatment	-0.43(-0.92 to 0.07), 0.09	
Missing data reported		
Yes, state MPD occur (in the main text or in		
CONSORT flow diagram) vs Yes, state MPD	-0.40(-0.61 to -0.18), 0.001	
did not occur (in the main text or in CONSORT	31.10( 3101 to 31.10), 61301	
flow diagram)		
Proportion of missing data		
0% vs < 20%	0.37(0.16 to 0.59), 0.001	
0% vs ≥20%	0.68(0.28 to 1.08), 0.001	
< 20% vs ≥20%	0.30(-0.06 to 0.67), 0.10	
Trial registration		
Not reported vs reported	0.76(0.59 to 0.94), <0.001	
Type of funding		
National vs foundation	0.21(-0.28 to 0.69), 0.40	
National vs provincial	-0.54(-0.75 to -0.33), <0.001	
National vs institution	-0.05(-0.39 to 0.28), 0.75	
Foundation vs provincial	-0.75(-1.21 to -0.28), 0.002	
Foundation vs institution	-0.26(-0.76 to 0.24), 0.30	
Provincial vs institution	0.49(0.18 to 0.79), 0.002	
Publication language		
Chinese vs English	0.72(0.57 to 0.88), <0.001	
Chinese vs Persian	0.76(-0.41 to 1.92), 0.20	
English vs Persian	0.03(-1.14 to 1.20), 0.96	
Journal Impact factor		
0 vs. 0.1-1.99	0.6(0.29 to 0.92), 0.001	
0 vs 2-4.99	0.7(0.49 to 0.91), <0.001	

0 vs ≥5	1.02(0.67 to 1.37), <0.001	
0.1-1.99 vs 2-4.99	0.1(-0.27 to 0.47), 0.60	
0.1-1.99 vs ≥5	0.42(-0.04 to 0.88), 0.07	
2-4.99 vs ≥5	0.32(-0.08 to 0.72), 0.12	
Stratification or block randomization		
Only stratification randomization used vs. only	0.56/ 1.26 to 0.25) 0.19	
block randomization used	-0.56(-1.36 to 0.25), 0.18	
Only stratification randomization used vs. both		
stratification and block	-0.02(-0.81 to 0.77), 0.96	
randomization		
Only block randomization used vs. both		
stratification and block		
randomization	0.53(0.04 to 1.02), 0.03	