BMJ Open Tulsa 1000: a naturalistic study protocol for multilevel assessment and outcome prediction in a large psychiatric sample

Teresa A Victor,¹ Sahib S Khalsa,^{1,2} W Kyle Simmons,^{1,2} Justin S Feinstein,^{1,2} Jonathan Savitz,^{1,2} Robin L Aupperle,^{1,2} Hung-Wen Yeh,¹ Jerzy Bodurka,^{1,3} Martin P Paulus¹

ABSTRACT

To cite: Victor TA, Khalsa SS, Simmons WK, *et al.* Tulsa 1000: a naturalistic study protocol for multilevel assessment and outcome prediction in a large psychiatric sample. *BMJ Open* 2018;**8**:e016620. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2017-016620

Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016620).

Received 3 March 2017 Revised 7 November 2017 Accepted 9 November 2017



¹Laureate Institute for Brain Research, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA ²Oxley College of Health Sciences, University of Tulsa, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA ³Stephenson School of Biomedical Engineering, The University of Oklahoma, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Teresa A Victor; tvictor@laureateinstitute.org **Introduction** Although neuroscience has made tremendous progress towards understanding the basic neural circuitry underlying important processes such as attention, memory and emotion, little progress has been made in applying these insights to psychiatric populations to make clinically meaningful treatment predictions. The overall aim of the Tulsa 1000 (T-1000) study is to use the NIMH Research Domain Criteria framework in order to establish a robust and reliable dimensional set of variables that quantifies the positive and negative valence, cognition and arousal domains, including interoception, to generate clinically useful treatment predictions.

Methods and analysis The T-1000 is a naturalistic study that will recruit, assess and longitudinally follow 1000 participants, including healthy controls and treatmentseeking individuals with mood, anxiety, substance use and eating disorders. Each participant will undergo interview, behavioural, biomarker and neuroimaging assessments over the course of 1 year. The study goal is to determine how disorders of affect, substance use and eating behaviour organise across different levels of analysis (molecules, genes, cells, neural circuits, physiology, behaviour and self-report) to predict symptom severity, treatment outcome and long-term prognosis. The data will be used to generate computational models based on Bayesian statistics. The final end point of this multilevel latent variable analysis will be standardised assessments that can be developed into clinical tools to help clinicians predict outcomes and select the best intervention for each individual, thereby reducing the burden of mental disorders, and taking psychiatry a step closer towards personalised medicine.

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval was obtained from Western Institutional Review Board screening protocol #20101611. The dissemination plan includes informing health professionals of results for clinical practice, submitting results to journals for peer-reviewed publication, presenting results at national and international conferences and making the dataset available to researchers and mental health professionals. **Trial registration number** NCT02450240; Pre-results.

INTRODUCTION

Mood¹ and anxiety² disorders are the most common form of mental illness and represent one of the biggest health issues worldwide,

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The study uses a comprehensive approach across multiple units of analysis for phenotyping.
- The study focuses on a dimensional psychopathology that cuts across traditional psychiatric diagnoses.
- The study uses novel statistical approaches to identify and replicate latent constructs within a large and complex dataset.
- The study does not include controlled treatment interventions and is a longitudinal observational study, which requires large numbers of participants to yield statistically significant results and may experience higher attrition rates over the course of the study compared with a cross-sectional study.
- The study recruitment aims to generate a representative sample of a local Midwestern community in the USA, including subsamples selected to represent the US community at large, however the results may not be generalisable to individuals with mood, substance use and eating disorders in other regions of the USA or worldwide due to factors such as access to and quality of healthcare or demographic, social or cultural differences.

accounting for approximately US\$16 trillion in lost productivity or 25% of the global gross domestic product over the next 20 years.³ Epidemiological data estimate the lifetime prevalence of major depressive disorder (MDD) at about 18% and the 12-month prevalence at 7%.⁴ Both MDD and anxiety disorders are associated with significant medical comorbidities⁵ including substance use (SU) and eating disorders (ED), which further exacerbate the cost and suffering associated with these disorders. The lifetime prevalence of ED is comparatively lower at $<3.5\%^6$; however, individuals exhibit extreme changes in body physique together with some of the highest mortality rates of all psychiatric disorders.^{7 8} Furthermore, most patients fail to remit or recover following treatment and up to 20% remain chronically ill.⁹⁻¹² Similarly, SU disorders are among the most disabling conditions worldwide.^{13 14} Recovery includes abstinence^{15 16} and remission¹⁷ but may not be adequately captured as an all-or-nothing process.¹⁸ Recovery rates can differ across the primary drug of choice¹⁹ and are highly non-linear such that as many as 50% of treatment-seeking individuals relapse within a month of last use. The neural basis and behavioural changes associated with recovery are poorly understood because very few sufficiently powered, neurobiologically based prospective, longitudinal studies have been conducted.²⁰⁻²⁵ The heterogeneity of psychiatric disorders and the limited ability to identify broadly efficacious interventions have provided an impetus to use dimensional approaches to help delineate distinct syndromes that better reflect the underlying neurobiology.²⁶

Although neuroscience has made tremendous progress in understanding the basic neural circuitry that underlies important processes such as attention, memory and basic emotion processing, little progress has been made in applying these insights to psychiatric populations in order to make clinically meaningful predictions. This may be because the current diagnostic system for mental disorders is based on statistically aggregated categories relying solely on verbal report and clinically observable behaviours.²⁷ Unfortunately, the connection between psychiatric disorders and their underlying neurobiology has been difficult to establish. The NIMH Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) framework was developed as a heuristic approach to better integrate pathophysiology with psychopathology.²⁶ The RDoC initiative highlights two important goals for this objective: (1) psychiatric studies should transcend traditional diagnostic groups in order to adequately capture the inherent heterogeneity of symptomatology and (2) clinical neuroscience and advanced statistical approaches should be used to determine the relationship between different units of analyses (self-report, behaviour, physiology, neural circuitry, genetics and clinically relevant psychopathology). The Tulsa 1000 (T-1000) aims to address these needs by determining how biological and objective behavioural measures can contribute to improving assessment and treatment of mental illness.

The overarching goal of this study is to use a dimensional psychopathological framework focused on mood-related, anxiety-related, eating-related and substance-related dysfunctions to identify latent variables that generalise across units of analyses, that is, that can connect symptoms with underlying circuit dysfunctions and molecular abnormalities. We aim to establish a robust and reliable dimensional set of variables that quantify the positive and negative valence, cognition and arousal/interoception RDoC domains based on a latent variable approach.^{28–30} Moreover, we aim to make these data sets available for other investigators for novel analytic approaches aimed to delineate the relationship between variation within a particular domain, for example, severity of mood symptoms and network characteristics of resting state

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016620 on 24 January 2018. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on December 30, 2022 by guest. Protected by copyright.

functional MRI (fMRI). These variables will be used to determine whether (a) measures of each domain (across different units of analyses) consistently relate to one another, (b) they predict the progression of symptoms over time (including natural recovery or worsening of symptoms), (c) they predict response to independently sought pharmacological or behavioural treatments and (d) they can be used in subsequent computational models of mental health to gain a more fundamental understanding of the pathology and predict illness course and recovery.

Overview of RDoC domains

Positive and negative valence systems

Affect, or the tendency to experience a given emotion, is often subdivided into two domains.³¹ Positive affect is the experience of positive emotions, such as happiness, excitement, elation and enthusiasm. Negative affect is the experience of negative emotions, such as anger, resentment, sadness, anxiety and fear. Positive and negative affect systems represent dimensions of psychopathology identified by the RDoC work groups.^{32 33} For example, high negative affect is common to anxiety and depression,³⁴⁻³⁶ and comorbid anxiety and depression is associated with more negative affect than each disorder alone.³⁷ Low positive affect is relatively specific to depression, although there also is some evidence of low positive affect in social anxiety.^{34 38} In addition, psychophysiological and neurobiological data indicate that the negative affect system is closely tied to threat sensitivity, whereas the positive affect system is closely tied to reward sensitivity. More detailed information on specific constructs of the positive valence system, including approach motivation, reward seeking and reward sensitivity and constructs of the negative valence system, including acute threat, potential harm are described in the online supplementary materials.

Cognitive system

The major constructs that were considered by the RDoC committee on cognitive systems included: (1) attention, that is, a set of processes that regulate access to capacity-limited systems, such as awareness, higher perceptual processes and motor action; (2) *perception*, that is, process(es) that perform computations on sensory data to construct and transform representations of the external environment to make predictions and guide action; (3) *declarative memory*, that is, the acquisition or encoding, storage, consolidation and retrieval of facts and events; (4) language, that is, a system of shared symbolic representations of the world, the self and abstract concepts that supports thought and communication; (5) cognitive control, that is, a system that modulates the operation of other cognitive and emotional systems, in the service of goal-directed behaviour, when prepotent modes of responding are not adequate to meet the demands of the current context; (6) working memory, that is, the active maintenance and flexible updating of goal/task relevant

information (items, goals, strategies, etc) in a form that has limited capacity and resists interference.

The T-1000 focuses primarily on two constructs within the cognitive system (a) *cognitive control* and (b) *attention*. Inhibitory control, the ability to suppress a prepotent action, is an important cognitive control process, and is hypothesised to be dysfunctional in individuals with SU problems.³⁹ However, it is unclear how dysfunctional cognitive control is associated with continuing SU, and how this affects relapse following a period of recovery from SU. For example, prior investigations have shown inhibitory control deficits in stimulant-dependent individuals and moderate correlations with drug use indices.^{40–45}

In this study protocol, we will combine Bayesian ideal observer model-based analysis with fast, event-related fMRI data, to investigate subtle behavioural and neural differences among the target populations. Bayesian ideal observer models have been widely applied to the study of choice in uncertain environments, and to identify potential neural markers of the iterative processes of belief update underlying such models.^{46 47} Subsequent modelling studies have shown that such a framework is readily adapted to various aspects of executive function, including attentional and inhibitory control.^{48–51}

Arousal/interoceptive system

Arousal is defined as a continuum of sensitivity of the organism to stimuli, both external and internal. Interoception refers to how the brain receives, processes and integrates internal signals from the body to affect motivated behaviour.^{52–54} One important aspect of the arousal domain is the link to homeostatic drives and interoception. Different conceptualisations of interoception have included its definition as the state of the individual at a particular point in time,⁵⁵ or as the sensing of bodyrelated information in terms of awareness,⁵⁶ or as the accuracy of the sensing process,⁵⁷ or as a trait phenomenon.⁵⁸ It is therefore a multifaceted process operating across numerous physiological and neural organ systems.^{59 60} Interoception provides an anatomical framework for identifying pathways focused on modulating the internal state of the individual. The anterior insula is predominately activated by effortful cognitive processing, whereas the posterior region is mostly activated by interoceptive sensory signals.⁶¹ The insula is thought to be the central nervous system hub for interoceptive processing. There is an emerging generalised view that the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), among other functions, orchestrates approach or avoidance behaviours in response to particular internal body states that involve homeostatic perturbations.⁶² This function of the ACC is supported by the strong functional⁶³ and anatomical⁶⁴ connections between the anterior insula and the ACC. Taken together, the insula and ACC receive information about the individual's current body state and use this information to predict future body states and select actions that will help maintain bodily homeostasis.

Based on the RDoC criteria described above, the primary units of analyses for the T-1000 study are: (a) symptoms, (b) paradigms/behaviour, (c) physiology, (d) circuits and (e) molecules. These units of analysis will be assessed via clinical and self-report interviews of past and current psychiatric symptoms, computational tasks of behaviour and neuropsychology, biomarkers for genetics inflammation and the microbiome and structural and functional neuroimaging. There are several new emerging areas that either provide opportunities to examine how individual domains are affected by biological influences other than the individual or have the potential to yield cellular models of diseases. Next, these other units of analysis are described further and specific examples are provided for the relationship to at least one of the diagnostic groups in the T-1000 study.

Microbiome

The human body can be considered a superorganism composed of 10 times more microbial cells than our body cells. A meta-genomic study of the human microbiome has shown that microbial cells contain 150 times more genes than our own genome and make up an extraordinarily diverse set of over 1000 bacterial species.⁶⁵ Our understanding of the vast collection of microbes that live on and inside us (microbiota) and their collective genes (microbiome) has been revolutionised by culture-independent 'metagenomic' techniques and DNA sequencing technologies. Gut microbiota play an important role in health and disease and can be considered a 'microbial organ'.⁶⁶ Each individual's microbiota show significant variability across body habitats and time, which may provide clues as to how microbiome changes cause or prevent disease.⁶⁷

The interaction between microbiota and human organs has been extended recently to brain-gut interactions.⁶⁸ The brain can influence enteric microbiota indirectly, via changes in gastrointestinal motility and secretion, and intestinal permeability, or directly, via signalling molecules released into the gut lumen from cells in the lamina propria.⁶⁹ There is emerging preclinical evidence that variations in the composition of gut microbes may be associated with changes in the normal functioning of the nervous system.⁷⁰ Explorations of the microbiome thus offer new insight into our neurodevelopment, behavioural phenotypes and perhaps disorders affecting complex processes, such as cognition, personality, mood, sleep and eating.

Human-induced pluripotent stem (hiPS) cells

The molecular mechanisms responsible for dysregulated mood and anxiety (MA), SU and eating behaviours are not well understood and few defining characteristics of diseased neurons have been identified. We intend to address this by generating dopamine cells (or neurons) that have been derived from a subset of individuals with extreme phenotypes of depression and/or anxiety, SU or eating behaviours. We aim to create cell-based human models for psychiatric disorders by directly reprogramming blood cells into human-induced pluripotent stem (hiPS) cells in both healthy individuals and those with clinically significant complaints related to affect, SU or eating.^{71–73} We aim to identify specific neuronal defects associated with dopamine neurons in vitro and demonstrate the reversibility of the disease phenotype in human neurons, with the expectation to ultimately screen chemical libraries to identify novel therapeutic targets. The goal of these experiments is to identify key molecular events involved in the dysregulation of these target populations and to exploit these as possible points of intervention.

Genetics and epigenetics

In humans, there is considerable evidence that anxiety and depression are moderately heritable and influenced by multiple genes. Most experts now believe that it is highly unlikely that there are 'genes for psychiatric disorders'. Rather, genes involved in susceptibility to psychiatric disorders can best be understood at the level of more basic biological processes (eg, neuronal cell migrations during development) and/or mental function in the context of particular life experiences that are requisite for the expression of psychopathology.

Data from twin and adoption studies indicate that major depressive disorder (MDD), addiction disorders and ED (anorexia nervosa and bulimia) are moderately heritable—in the region of 40%–60%—suggestive of a significant genetic contribution.^{74–76} Clearly identifying the genetic variants that are associated with risk for developing these disorders would be helpful for predicting who is at risk of becoming ill and increasing our understanding of the pathophysiological basis of these disorders. Unfortunately, given the heterogeneity and complexity of MDD and anorexia nervosa, even well-powered genome-wide association study (GWAS) datasets of ~10000 cases and ~10000 controls and ~5500 cases and ~20000 controls, respectively, have failed to identify alleles that achieve genome-wide significance.^{77 78}

A more tractable approach than the traditional casecontrol association study is offered by large-scale longitudinal designs such as the T-1000. Here, the proposed within-subject genetic analyses will emphasise the prediction of naturalistic clinical outcomes such as response to pharmacological and/or non-pharmacological treatment. Furthermore, the genetic data collected will be stored for future testing and combined with multiple phenotypes (eg, neuroimaging, clinical, cognitive assessments and other bioassays) to provide an integrated theoretical perspective on the genetic basis for disorders of mood, anxiety, eating and addiction.^{79–81}

Immunophenotyping

Data from several different fields of study suggest that at least a subset of individuals with depression and other psychiatric illnesses show immunological dysregulation characterised by activation of the innate immune system together with suppression of elements of the adaptive immune response.^{82–87} However, progress has been limited by a disproportionate focus on a static and narrow aspect of innate immunity, that is, single timepoint measurements of C reactive protein or cytokines to the exclusion of other potentially informative markers of innate and adaptive immune function. Here, we will leverage the T-1000 design to obtain a wide range of immunophenotypes both at baseline and post-treatment. Furthermore, the range of tasks embedded within the T-1000 will provide a rich opportunity to examine the effect of experimental manipulations on immune function. The data obtained will further our understanding of the nature of immune dysfunction in psychiatric illness and may lead to the identification of prognostic and/or predictive biomarkers that possess clinical utility.

METHODS

Aims and objective

This is a multilevel, longitudinal observational study of healthy controls (HC) and treatment-seeking individuals with mental health problems in Tulsa and the surrounding regions of Oklahoma. The overall aim is to obtain a comprehensive assessment based on RDoC principles, in order to:

- Determine relationships among variables assessing positive/negative valence, cognition and arousal/ interoception domains in order to derive latent variables that describe psychopathology across units of analysis and diagnostic groups.
- 2. Investigate whether latent factors can be used to generate clinically meaningful outcome predictions across different domains and diagnostic groups.

Thus, this study has the potential to substantially improve our understanding of how disorders of mood, anxiety, SU and eating behaviour are organised across different units of analysis (genes, molecules, cells, neural circuits, physiology, behaviour and self-report) and different domains of functioning (positive and negative valence, cognition and arousal/interoception). On completion, we will aim to have robust and reliable dimensional measures that quantify these relationships among different units of analysis and different domains of functioning. The latent constructs will be the main outcome variables of this protocol. The baseline assessments will be used with individual-based prediction methods (eg, random forests or support vector machines) to develop predictors. These predictors will be evaluated with test-specific statistics such as positive and negative likelihood ratios and standard measures such as area under the receiver operating characteristic curve and area under precision-recall curve to determine which baseline measure or combination of measures best predicts clinical outcomes. Ultimately, the aim is to develop a set of assessments that can be used as a clinical tool to enhance outcome prediction for the clinician. These measures may also serve as an aid to determine who would likely benefit from different interventions.

Participants

We propose to collect complete datasets on a total of 1000 participants with approximately 500 MA, 300 SU, 100 ED and 100 mentally and physically HC participants. In order to obtain 1000 participants who complete the year-long study, we plan to enrol up to 1400 participants between January 2015 and December 2018. Subjects will be between 18 and 55 years of age and have a body mass index between 17 and 38 kg/m^2 . Subjects will be referred from local treatment facilities or seeking treatment for anxiety and/or depressive symptoms, problems related to SU or problems related to eating behaviour. As part of the inclusion criteria, MA, SU and ED participants must also screen positive for these conditions as indicated by a score on the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 \geq 10 and/or Overall Anxiety Severity and Impairment Scale ≥ 8 , drug abuse screening test-10 score >2 or Sick, Control, One, Fat, Food Questionnaire eating disorder screen score ≥ 2 . Participants who meet criteria for one primary domain may also screen positive for one of the other study domains. HC participants will screen negative for these inclusion measures.

Exclusion criteria

The following exclusion criteria will apply: (1) inability to provide informed consent, (2) no telephone or easy access to telephone, (3) history of unstable liver or renal insufficiency; glaucoma; significant and unstable cardiac, vascular, pulmonary, gastrointestinal, endocrine, neurological, haematological, rheumatological or metabolic disturbance; or any other condition that, in the opinion of the investigator, would make participation not be in the best interest (eg, compromise the well-being) of the subject or that could prevent, limit or confound the protocol-specified assessments, (4) a positive test for drugs of abuse, including alcohol (breath test), cocaine, marijuana, opiates, amphetamines, methamphetamines, phencyclidine, benzodiazepines, barbiturates, methadone and oxycodone, (5) has any of the following Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM)-5 disorders: schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders, bipolar and related disorders, obsessive-compulsive and related disorders, (6) moderate-to-severe traumatic brain injury or other neurocognitive disorder with evidence of neurological deficits, neurological disorders or severe or unstable medical conditions that might be compromised by participation in the study (to be determined by primary care provider), (7) active suicidal ideation with intent or plan, (8) change in the dose or prescription of a medication within the 6 weeks before enrolling in the study that could affect brain functioning, for example, anxiolytics, antipsychotics, antidepressants or mood stabilisers. However, we expect there to be changes in the dosing and prescription of medications during the course of the study protocol. This will be acceptable for the study and participants will be asked to inform the investigators of any treatments they undergo during their time in the study, (9) prescription

of a medication outside of the accepted range, as determined by the best clinical practices and current research, (10) taking drugs that affect the fMRI haemodynamic response (eg, methylphenidate, acetazolamide, excessive caffeine intake >1000 mg/day), (11) MRI contraindications including: cardiac pacemaker, metal fragments in eyes/skin/body (shrapnel), aortic/aneurysm clips, prosthesis, bypass surgery/coronary artery clips, hearing aid, heart valve replacement, shunt (ventricular or spinal), electrodes, metal plates/pins/screws/wires or neurostimulators/biostimulators, (12) persons who have ever been a professional metal worker/welder, history of eye surgery/eyes washed out because of metal, vision problems uncorrectable with lenses, (13) inability to lie still on one's back for 60-120 min; (14) prior neurosurgery, (15) tattoos or cosmetic makeup with metal dyes, (16) unwillingness to remove body piercings, (17) pregnancy, (18) unwillingness or inability to complete any of the major aspects of the study protocol, including MRI (eg, due to claustrophobia), biopsy, blood draws or behavioural assessment. However, failing to complete some individual aspects of these assessment sessions will be acceptable (eg, being unwilling to answer individual items on some questionnaires or being unwilling to complete a behavioural task), (19) non-correctable vision or hearing problems. Once participants have been enrolled, they will be followed for the study duration even if they fulfil exclusion criteria for initial enrolment, for example, an individual with an SU disorder who was initially abstinent but experiences a relapse and presents with a positive drug screen during a follow-up session. However, subjects will be excluded if the investigators determine that participation would interfere with the individual's treatment or might negatively affect the outcome of the underlying disorder, for example, an individual with a mood disorder who reports active suicidal ideation with intent or plan during a follow-up session.

Study design

The study's dependent variables will focus on the positive and negative valence systems, cognition and arousal/ interoception domains proposed by the RDoC.^{32 33} Using self-report, behaviour, physiology, neural circuit, cell, molecule and gene unit of analysis measures, we will apply these constructs to a clinical population of individuals with dysregulation of affect, SU and eating behaviour recruited from treatment providers across different sites in the community. Through the application of latent variable analysis, we will derive latent constructs of positive and negative valence, cognition and arousal/interoception system functioning that cut across units of analyses and diagnostic groups. Subjects will undergo a multilevel assessment based on the RDoC approach that consists of (a) a standardised diagnostic assessment, (b) selfreport questionnaires assessing the positive and negative valence domains as well as interoception, (c) behavioural tasks assessing positive and negative valence, cognition and interoception, (d) physiological measurements

consisting of skin conductance, facial emotion expression monitoring, heart rate, respiration and eye-blink startle response, (e) fMRI focusing on reward-related processing, fear conditioning and extinction, cognitive control and inhibition and interoceptive processing, (f) biomarker assessment, (g) microbiome assessment, (h) blood to derive iPS and (i) genetic as well as epigenetic assessments. Subsequently, these individuals will be followed-up quarterly and for 1 year. At months 3, 6 and 9, only selfreport assessments will be collected, and the participants will be re-assessed using a multidomain assessment of functioning, which will include: (a) symptom severity and duration, (b) subjective well-being, (c) psychosocial function, (c) occupational function, (d) physical health, (e) utilisation of mental health resources (treatment) and (f) adherence to treatment.

The workflow schematic in figure 1 describes the overall outline of the T-1000 study and the measures obtained at different points in time.

Potential subjects will be screened by phone or in-person using the Western Institutional Review Board (WIRB) screening protocol 20101611. Once an individual has been identified as a potential subject in the T-1000, he or she will complete two to six in-person sessions within a 2-week time period. However, completion of these sessions may be broken into more or less visits depending on what works best for the participant's schedule. The order of the baseline assessments may also be modified to ensure timely and efficient completion, given individual differences in completion times for the various measures (eg, variability in how long individuals may take to complete self-report measures).

Although entry into the study is not based on meeting diagnostic criteria for a particular mood, anxiety, SU or ED, it will be important to characterise how our findings map onto the DSM (using DSM-5 criteria).⁸⁸ Accordingly, patients will complete a diagnostic interview with study personnel, using an abbreviated version of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI V.6.0).⁸⁹ The MINI was chosen over other diagnostic interviews because of its relative brevity, good inter-rater reliability and suitability for use by an interviewer with limited training. We will include sections on panic disorder, social anxiety disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, generalised anxiety disorder, ED, obsessive-compulsive disorder and MDD and several modules to provide further clinical information or to determine ineligibility (suicidality, manic/hypomanic episode and psychotic disorders).

After completing the MINI and satisfying study criteria, the subjects will complete a wide range of self-assessments that are targeted to probe the positive and negative valence domains, cognitive systems and interoceptive systems. Subjects included in the study will return for a behavioural testing session (session 2) and neuroimaging and biomarker testing sessions (sessions 3–5). During the behavioural session, participants will complete a battery of neuropsychological assessments, a set of cognitive tasks which have been selected based



Figure 1 Tulsa 1000 workflow schematic. BOLD, blood oxygen level-dependent; DAST, drug abuse screening test; DTI, diffusion tensor imaging; EEG, electroencephalogram; MINI, Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview; OASIS, Overall Anxiety Severity and Impairment Scale; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire; PROMIS, Patient-Reported Outcome Measurement Information System; SCOFF, Sick, Control, One, Fat, Food Questionnaire; T1/T2, T1-weighted (longitudinal relaxation time) and T2-weighted (transverse relaxation time).

on underlying computational models, a modified dot probe detection task, an approach/avoidance conflict task and an emotional reactivity task in which they view blocks of emotional images. Interoception will be probed using a series of heartbeat perception tasks, an inspiratory breathhold experiment and a cold pressor test. State affect and physiology will be assessed throughout the behavioural session procedures. The biomarker session will include a blood draw, microbiome collection, physical measurements including height, weight, body composition assessment, hip/waist ratio and vital signs (pulse,

6

blood pressure). The structural MRI, fMRI and electroencephalogram (EEG) session will include high-resolution anatomical brain scans, a resting state functional scan and task-based functional scans targeting neural systems associated with reward, attention, inhibition, interoception and fear conditioning.

The details of each session are listed in table 1: the first column indicates which construct will be examined, the second column lists the name of the test. All self-report assessment measures will be administered electronically through the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap).⁹⁰

Study sessions

Detailed descriptions of the clinical, demographic, selfreport, behavioural, neuropsychological and functional neuroimaging measures listed below are provided in the online supplementary materials.

The baseline session

Clinical interview, demographics and questionnaires detailed in table 1 will be administered by masters or nurse-level assistants who are supervised by licensed clinical psychologists and board-certified psychiatrists. The clinical portion of the baseline assessments is expected to take approximately 4.5 hours to complete and can be split into two or more visits.

Baseline behavioural session

Behavioural tests will be administered via computer interfaces, with the exception of neuropsychological testing which will be conducted face to face by an assessor. The neuropsychological assessments will be administered by trained clinical assistants, directly supervised by licensed clinical psychologists and board-certified psychiatrists. Behavioural assessments will be conducted by trained research assistants. The behavioural session is expected to take about 4 hours to complete and can be split into two or more visits (table 2).

Baseline biomarkers

Table 3 summarises the proposed biomarkers and biological specimens that will be obtained from blood samples and microbial samples of the subjects. It is expected to take approximately 30–45 min to complete sample collection.

Baseline neuroimaging

The session will consist of one 60 and one 120 min scan in the MRI machine. One of the neuroimaging sessions will focus on structural differences in the brain and a second session will focus on functional differences. The neuroimaging sessions are expected to take approximately 4 hours total to complete and are split into two visits (box).

Quarterly follow-up session

These sessions will examine the course of outcomes in individuals with dysregulated MA, SU or problematic eating behaviour. These assessments will be brief in-person visits.
 Table 1
 Baseline session: clinical interview, demographics and questionnaires

Assessment

Domain

Clinical rating scales and demographicsDiagnosisMini International Neuropsychiatric Interview V.6.0 ⁶⁹ DemographicsDemographics and psychosocial formHistoryAssessment of medical and medication historyHistoryLife chart interviewSubstance useCustomary Drinking and Drug Use Record ¹⁰⁶ HandednessEdinburgh Handedness Inventory ¹⁰ ComplianceMedication complianceTraumatic head injuryTulsa head injury screen scale ^{109,110} Family psychiatric historyFamily history screen scale ^{109,110} Self-report scalesColumbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale ¹¹¹ Negative valenceState Trait Anxiety Inventory scale ¹¹¹ Negative valenceAnxiety Sensitivity Index ¹¹³ Negative valenceQuick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology ¹¹⁵ PraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire ¹¹⁷ Positive/ negative valenceSchelue-Expanded Form Behavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale ¹²¹ Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale ¹²¹ Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale ¹²¹ Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale ¹²²¹ PersonalityBig Five Inventory ¹²⁴ Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale ¹²²¹ PersonalityBig Five Inventory ¹²⁴ Arousal/ <th></th> <th></th>					
DiagnosisMini International Neuropsychiatric Interview V6.0 ⁸⁹ DemographicsDemographics and psychosocial formHistoryAssessment of medical and medication historyHistoryLife chart interviewSubstance useCustomary Drinking and Drug Use 	Clinical rating scales and demographics				
DemographicsDemographics and psychosocial formHistoryAssessment of medical and medication historyHistoryLife chart interviewSubstance useCustomary Drinking and Drug Use Record ¹⁰⁶ HandednessEdinburgh Handedness Inventory ¹⁰ ComplianceMedication complianceComplianceMedication complianceTraumatic head injuryTulsa head injury screenFamily psychiatric historyFamily history screenSuicidal IdeationColumbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale ^{109,110} PainWong-Baker FACES Pain Rating Scale ¹¹¹ Self-report scalesNegative valenceNegative valenceRuminative Responses Scale ¹¹⁴ DepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology ¹¹⁵ TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire ¹¹⁷ Positive/ negative valencePositive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect negative valencePositive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale ¹¹⁹ Positive/ negative valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) ¹²⁰ Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale ¹²¹ Frangathy-likeInteroceptionArousal/ interoceptionMultidimensional Assessment of InteroceptionArousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating Duestive Scale ¹³⁰ Arousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating DuestiveEating behavioursThree Factor Eating DuestiveEating behavioursScale ¹³⁰	Diagnosis	Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview V.6.0 ⁸⁹			
HistoryAssessment of medical and medication historyHistoryLife chart interviewSubstance useCustomary Drinking and Drug Use Record ¹⁰⁶ HandednessEdinburgh Handedness Inventory ¹⁰ ComplianceMedication complianceComplianceTherapy complianceTraumatic head injuryTulsa head injury screenFamily psychiatric historyFamily history screenSuicidal IdeationColumbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale ^{109 110} PainWong-Baker FACES Pain Rating Scale ¹¹¹ Self-report scalesNegative valence/ Anxiety Sensitivity Index ¹¹³ Negative valence/ interoceptionRuminative Responses Scale ¹¹⁴ DepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology ¹¹⁵ TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire ¹¹⁷ Positive/ negative valencePositive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form ¹¹⁸ Positive/ negative valencePositive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale ¹²¹ Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale ¹²¹ Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale ¹²¹ PresonalityBig Five Inventory ¹²⁴ Arousal/ interoceptionArousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale ¹²⁵ 126Arousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating Deative Ameness ⁵⁶ Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Scale ¹³⁰ Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire ¹³¹	Demographics	Demographics and psychosocial form			
HistoryLife chart interviewSubstance useCustomary Drinking and Drug Use Record ¹⁰⁶ HandednessEdinburgh Handedness Inventory ¹⁰ ComplianceMedication complianceTraumatic head injuryTulsa head injury screenFamily psychiatric historyFamily history screen ¹⁰⁸ Suicidal IdeationColumbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale ^{109,110} PainWong-Baker FACES Pain Rating Scale ¹¹¹ Self-report scalesNegative valenceNegative valence/ interoceptionAnxiety Sensitivity Index ¹¹³ Negative valenceRuminative Responses Scale ¹¹⁴ DepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology ¹¹⁵ TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire ¹¹⁷ Positive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form ¹¹⁸ Positive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale ¹²¹ Positive/ negative valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) ¹²⁰ Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale ¹²¹ Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale ¹²¹ ProsonalityBig Five Inventory ¹²⁴ Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale ^{125 126} Arousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating Questionnaire ¹²⁷⁻¹²⁹ Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Questionnaire ¹³¹	History	Assessment of medical and medication history			
Substance useCustomary Drinking and Drug Use Record106HandednessEdinburgh Handedness Inventory10ComplianceMedication complianceTraumatic head injuryTulsa head injury screenFamily psychiatric historyFamily history screen108Suicidal IdeationColumbia-Suicide Severity Rating 	History	Life chart interview			
HandednessEdinburgh Handedness Inventory10ComplianceMedication complianceComplianceTherapy complianceTraumatic head injuryTulsa head injury screenFamily psychiatric historyFamily history screenSuicidal IdeationColumbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale109110PainWong-Baker FACES Pain Rating Scale111Self-report scalesAnxiety Sensitivity Index113Negative valenceState Trait Anxiety Inventory112Negative valence/ interoceptionRuminative Responses Scale114DepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology115TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire117Positive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form118Positive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale119Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Prositive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125 126Arousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Cacle130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Substance use	Customary Drinking and Drug Use Record ¹⁰⁶			
ComplianceMedication complianceComplianceTherapy complianceTraumatic head injuryTulsa head injury screenFamily psychiatric historyFamily history screenSuicidal IdeationColumbia-Suicide Severity Rating ScalePainWong-Baker FACES Pain Rating ScaleSelf-report scalesNegative valenceNegative valenceState Trait Anxiety InventoryNegative valenceRuminative Responses ScaleNegative valenceRuminative Responses ScaleNegative valenceQuick Inventory of Depressive SymptomatologyTraumaChild Trauma QuestionnaireTraumaChild Trauma QuestionnairePositive/ negative valenceBehavioural Approach ScalePositive/ negative valenceBehavioural Approach ScalePositive/ negative valenceTraumatic EventsPositive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach ScalePositive valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS)Positive valenceTemporal Reactivity IndexPositive valenceToronto Alexithymia ScalePersonalityBig Five InventoryBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating QuestionnaireArousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating QuestionnaireEating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire	Handedness	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory ¹⁰⁷			
ComplianceTherapy complianceTraumatic head injuryTulsa head injury screenFamily psychiatric historyFamily history screenSuicidal IdeationColumbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale109110PainWong-Baker FACES Pain Rating Scale111Self-report scalesNegative valenceNegative valenceState Trait Anxiety Inventory112 Negative valence/ interoceptionNegative valenceRuminative Responses Scale114 DepressionDepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology115TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire117 Behavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale119Positive/ negative valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) 120Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121 Behavioural Approach Scale121Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121ProsalityBig Five Inventory124 Arousal/ interoceptionArousal/ interoceptionMultidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness58Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire127-131	Compliance	Medication compliance			
Traumatic head injuryTulsa head injury screenFamily psychiatric historyFamily history screenSuicidal IdeationColumbia-Suicide Severity Rating ScalePainWong-Baker FACES Pain Rating ScalePainWong-Baker FACES Pain Rating ScaleNegative valenceState Trait Anxiety InventoryNegative valenceState Trait Anxiety InventoryNegative valenceRuminative Responses ScaleNegative valenceRuminative Responses ScaleNegative valenceRuminative Responses ScaleNegative valenceRuminative Responses ScaleDepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive SymptomatologyTraumaTraumatic Events QuestionnaireTraumaChild Trauma QuestionnairePositive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach ScalePositive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior ScalePositive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior ScalePositive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior ScalePositive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior ScalePersonalityBig Five InventoryPersonalityBig Five InventoryPersonalityBig Five InventoryArousal/ interoceptionMultidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive AwarenessFating behavioursThree Factor Eating QuestionnaireEating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite QuestionnaireEating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire	Compliance	Therapy compliance			
Family psychiatric historyFamily history screen108Suicidal IdeationColumbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale109110PainWong-Baker FACES Pain Rating Scale111Self-report scalesNegative valenceNegative valence/ interoceptionState Trait Anxiety Inventory112Negative valence/ interoceptionAnxiety Sensitivity Index113DepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology115TraumaTraumatic Events Questionnaire116TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire117Positive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form118Positive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale119Positive valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) 120Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Empathy-likeInterpersonal Reactivity Index122 123 PersonalityPersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125 126Arousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Cuestionnaire127-129Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Traumatic head injury	Tulsa head injury screen			
Suicidal IdeationColumbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale109110PainWong-Baker FACES Pain Rating Scale111Self-report scalesNegative valenceNegative valenceState Trait Anxiety Inventory112Negative valence/ interoceptionAnxiety Sensitivity Index113Negative valenceRuminative Responses Scale114DepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive 	Family psychiatric history	Family history screen ¹⁰⁸			
PainWong-Baker FACES Pain Rating Scale111Self-report scalesNegative valenceNegative valence/ interoceptionState Trait Anxiety Inventory112Negative valence/ interoceptionAnxiety Sensitivity Index113Negative valenceRuminative Responses Scale114DepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive 	Suicidal Ideation	Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale ^{109 110}			
Self-report scalesNegative valenceState Trait Anxiety Inventory112Negative valence/ interoceptionAnxiety Sensitivity Index113Negative valenceRuminative Responses Scale114DepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology115TraumaTraumatic Events Questionnaire116TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire117Positive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form118Positive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale119Positive valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) 120Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Empathy-likeInterpersonal Reactivity Index122123PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125 126Arousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-123Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Pain	Wong-Baker FACES Pain Rating Scale ¹¹¹			
Negative valenceState Trait Anxiety Inventory112Negative valence/ interoceptionAnxiety Sensitivity Index113Negative valenceRuminative Responses Scale114DepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology115TraumaTraumatic Events Questionnaire116TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire117Positive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form118Positive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale119Positive valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) 120Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Empathy-likeInterpersonal Reactivity Index122123PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125126Arousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Self-report scales				
Negative valence/ interoceptionAnxiety Sensitivity Index113Negative valenceRuminative Responses Scale114DepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology115TraumaTraumatic Events Questionnaire116TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire117Positive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form118Positive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale119Positive valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) 120Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Empathy-likeInterpersonal Reactivity Index122123PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionMultidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness58Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Negative valence	State Trait Anxiety Inventory ¹¹²			
Negative valenceRuminative Responses Scale114DepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology115TraumaTraumatic Events Questionnaire116TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire117Positive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form118Positive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale119Positive valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) 120Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Empathy-likeInterpersonal Reactivity Index122123PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionMultidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness58Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Negative valence/ interoception	Anxiety Sensitivity Index ¹¹³			
DepressionQuick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology115TraumaTraumatic Events Questionnaire116TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire117Positive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form118Positive/ 	Negative valence	Ruminative Responses Scale ¹¹⁴			
TraumaTraumatic Events Questionnaire116TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire117Positive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form118Positive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale119Positive valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) 120Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Empathy-likeInterpersonal Reactivity Index122123PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125126Arousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Depression	Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology ¹¹⁵			
TraumaChild Trauma Questionnaire117Positive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form118Positive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale119Positive valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) 120Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Empathy-likeInterpersonal Reactivity Index122123PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125126Arousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Trauma	Traumatic Events Questionnaire ¹¹⁶			
Positive/ negative valencePositive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form118Positive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale119Positive valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) 120Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Empathy-likeInterpersonal Reactivity Index122123PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125126Arousal/ interoceptionThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Trauma	Child Trauma Questionnaire ¹¹⁷			
Positive/ negative valenceBehavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale119Positive valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) 120Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Empathy-likeInterpersonal Reactivity Index122123PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125126Arousal/ interoceptionMultidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness58Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Positive/ negative valence	Positive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form ¹¹⁸			
Positive valenceTemporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) 120Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Empathy-likeInterpersonal Reactivity Index122123PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125126Arousal/ interoceptionMultidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness58Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite 	Positive/ negative valence	Behavioural Inhibition System/ Behavioural Approach Scale ¹¹⁹			
Positive valenceUPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale121Empathy-likeInterpersonal Reactivity Index122123PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125126Arousal/ interoceptionMultidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness58Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Positive valence	Temporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) ¹²⁰			
Empathy-likeInterpersonal Reactivity Index122123PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125126Arousal/ interoceptionMultidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness58Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating 	Positive valence	UPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale ¹²¹			
PersonalityBig Five Inventory124Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125126Arousal/ interoceptionMultidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness58Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Empathy-like	Interpersonal Reactivity Index ¹²² ¹²³			
Arousal/ interoceptionToronto Alexithymia Scale125 126Arousal/ interoceptionMultidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness58Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Personality	Big Five Inventory ¹²⁴			
Arousal/ interoceptionMultidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness ⁵⁸ Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Questionnaire ¹²⁷⁻¹²⁹ Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale ¹³⁰ Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire ¹³¹	Arousal/ interoception	Toronto Alexithymia Scale ^{125 126}			
Eating behavioursThree Factor Eating Questionnaire127-129Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Arousal/ interoception	Multidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness ⁵⁸			
Eating behavioursEating Disorders Diagnostic Scale130Eating behavioursSimplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire131	Eating behaviours	Three Factor Eating Questionnaire ¹²⁷⁻¹²⁹			
Eating behaviours Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire ¹³¹	Eating behaviours	Eating Disorders Diagnostic Scale ¹³⁰			
	Eating behaviours	Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire ¹³¹			

Continued

7

8

Table 1 Continued				
Domain	Assessment			
Physical activity	International Physical Activity Questionnaire ¹³²			
Disability	WHO Disability Assessment Schedule ¹³³			
Absenteeism/ presenteeism	WHO Health & Work Performance Questionnaire ¹³⁴			
Patient Reported Outcome Measurement Information System (PROMIS) measures ^{135 136}				
Negative valence	PROMIS anxiety			
Negative valence	PROMIS depression			
Negative valence	PROMIS anger			
Positive valence	PROMIS/Neuro-QOL positive affect and well-being			
Cognitive	PROMIS cognitive abilities			
Cognitive	PROMIS cognitive general			
Fatigue	PROMIS fatigue			
Sleep	PROMIS sleep disturbance			
Sleep	PROMIS sleep-related impairment			
Alcohol	PROMIS alcohol use			
Alcohol	PROMIS alcohol: negative consequences			
Alcohol	PROMIS alcohol: positive consequences			
Alcohol	PROMIS alcohol: negative expectancies			
Alcohol	PROMIS alcohol: positive expectancies			
Social	PROMIS social satisfaction DSA			
Social	PROMIS social satisfaction role			
Social	PROMIS ability to participate social			
Social	PROMIS emotional support			
Social	PROMIS information support			
Social	PROMIS instrument support			
Social	PROMIS satisfaction roles activities			
Social	PROMIS social isolation			
Physical	PROMIS physical function			
Pain	PROMIS pain interference			
Pain	PROMIS pain behaviour			
Sex	PROMIS global satisfaction with sex life			
Sex	PROMIS interest in sex activity			
Nicotine	Nicotine dependence			
Nicotine	Coping expectancies			
Nicotine	Emotional and sensory expectancies			
Nicotine	Health expectancies			
Nicotine	Psychosocial expectancies			
Nicotine	Social motivations			

Table 2 Behavioural and neuropsychological tasks				
Domain	Task			
Computational- cognitive	Change Point Detection Task ¹³⁷ Three Arm Bandit Task ¹³⁸ Start/Stop Task ¹³⁹			
Positive/negative valence	Implicit Approach/Avoidance Task ¹⁴⁰ Attentional Bias/Dot Probe Task ¹⁴¹ Emotional Reactivity Task ¹⁴² Approach Avoidance Conflict Task ¹⁴³			
Arousal/ interoception	Breath Hold Heartbeat Tapping Task Cold Pressor ^{144 145}			
Neuropsychology	Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT) Reading ¹⁴⁶ Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (DKEFS) Color-Word Inhibition ¹⁴⁷ DKEFS verbal fluency ¹⁴⁷ Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS-IV) digit span ¹⁴⁸ Finger Tapping Test			
	WAIS-IV Digit Symbol Coding ¹⁴⁸ California Verbal Learning Test ¹⁴⁹			

The quarterly follow-up assessments will take approximately 1.5 hours every 3 months during the 12-month follow-up time period (see online supplementary table 1).

One-year follow-up session

This session will examine the course of outcomes 1 year after baseline. For neuropsychological assessment, alternative forms will be used as available. Assessments will be administered during in-person sessions that take approximately 7 hours to complete over 1–3 visits (online supplementary table 2).

Biomarker measures

Blood collection

We will investigate neuroendocrine, metabolic, inflammatory and cardiovascular biomarkers associated with positive and negative valence domains, cognitive systems and arousal/interoceptive systems. These measures help to extend our multilevel analysis of NIMH RDoC constructs into the cellular and molecular units of analysis. Biochemical assays will be performed on biological samples collected at baseline and during the 1-year follow-up to quantify a range of biomarkers and their relationship with other variables and units of analysis.

Participants will have fasting blood drawn by venipuncture by a trained phlebotomist for the biomarker panels. This will be scheduled to occur the morning of one of the visits, or at a time convenient for the participant. Resting blood pressure and heart rate will be assessed. Additionally, in order to lay the foundation for future studies, we

Table 3 Examples of immune-related measurements				
Immunophenotype	Reported abnormality in depression, eating disorders or addiction disorders	References		
Cytokines	Elevations in pro-inflammatory cytokines	150–153		
PBMC gene expression	Increased mRNA expression of pro-inflammatory mediators	154–157		
Kynurenine pathway	Increased neurotoxic kynurenine metabolites	158–161		
T-cells	Altered T-cell function and numbers	162 163		
Natural killer cells (NKC)	Reduced NKC function	164–166		
Pathogens	Increased seropositivity for Toxoplasma gondii and herpesviridae	167 168		

MRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell.

will also collect and process a small quantity of blood to be banked for potential future endocrine, immune and/ or genomic analyses.

Sample collection, processing distribution and storage procedures

A trained phlebotomist will obtain all blood samples. Less than 150 mL of blood will be collected per subject during each session (baseline and 1-year follow-up), which is well within the safety limit of ~450 mL per blood draw. Samples for stem cells and genetics will be shipped to Rutgers University laboratory for processing and storage. Blood samples for plasma, serum and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) will be transported to and processed at the University of Oklahoma Integrative Immunology Center (IIC) Laboratories. Plasma and serum samples will be stored in secure freezers at -80°C. Freezers will be maintained in a specially equipped room with emergency backup power and an automated telephone alarm system that is programmed to call in case of failure. Additional aliquots of samples will be stored at -80°C should repeat analyses be required at a later date. PBMCs will be stored

Box Baseline neuroimaging sessions

32 channel head coil MRI: structural and perfusion

- Participant Last Use Summary (PLUS)
- 3-plane localiser, asset calibration
- ► T2-W Clinical Flair
- ► T2-W Clinical FSE
- T1-W Clinical MPRAGE
- T1-W MPRAGE HI-RES
- T2-W Propeller FSE HI-RES
- Arterial spin labelling
- Diffusion tensor imaging

8 channel head coil MRI, and fMRI with concurrent EEG

- Task training and practice
- Karolinska Sleepiness Scale: prescan (KSS)
- PLUS
- EEG Cap Setup
- MRI anatomical scan (T1-W)
- ► fMRI Monetary Incentive Delay Task (MID)^{169 170}
- fMRI Stop Signal Task¹⁷
- fMRI Resting State with eyes open
- fMRI Interoceptive Attention Task¹⁷²
- fMRI Fear Conditioning/Extinction Task¹⁷³
- KSS: postscan

in liquid nitrogen dewars with liquid level monitors and alarms in a secure room at the University of Oklahoma IIC Laboratories.

Microbiome collection

Participants will be asked to provide microbial samples during the biomarker session. All participants will be asked to provide forehead, mouth and stool samples.

A research assistant will provide the participant with an all-in-one sample collection kit system for collecting, stabilising, transporting and purifying samples which includes cotton-swabs, tubes labelled by body area, and step-by-step instructions. Participants will be asked to perform the sampling themselves. Samples will be stored at the University of Oklahoma IIC Laboratories after initial processing until they are shipped to The University of San Diego, California for final processing and sample analysis.

Compensation

Subjects will receive the payment for completing the study as mentioned in table 4.

Table 4 Compensation		
Session	Time	Payment (US\$)
Interview and demographic information	4.5 hours	90
Behavioural assessments and computerised tasks	4 hours	80 10–20 reward
Biomarkers	30 min	50
Neuroimaging and electroencephalogram and setup	4 hours	170 0–60 reward
3-Month follow-up	1.5 hours	30
6-Month follow-up	1.5 hours	30
9-Month follow-up	1.5 hours	30
12-Month follow-up	7 hours	200 10–20 reward
Total	23.5 hours	700–780

DATA ANALYSIS

Behavioural and psychophysiological data analyses

Self-report questionnaires, interviews, neuropsychological assessments, computer-based behavioural assessments and psychophysiological assessments will be scored according to published methods (as cited in tables 1 and 2). These variables will then be used in conjunction with collected biological data in the latent variable approach. The analysis strategy consists of the following steps. First, the characteristics of all measures will be examined for deviation from normality prior to subsequent analyses. For each unit of analysis (self-report, behaviour, physiology, circuits, biomarkers), separate principal components analyses (PCA) will be performed and a separate analysis will be conducted for each behavioural task to minimise task-specific factors in subsequent analysis steps. Next, the number of components for each analysis will be determined using a number of different approaches.⁹¹ In particular, if the number of components to be extracted differed across the extraction approaches, both solutions will be explored.^{92 93} Component scores from each unit of analyses will be extracted for each participant and used for the following analyses.

MRI, EEG and fMRI data analysis

The basic structural and functional image processing will be done with the Analysis of Functional Neuroimages (AFNI) software package.⁹⁴

EEG-fMRI

The EEG data will be acquired simultaneously with the fMRI data and corrected for artefacts related to the gradient switching and cardiac ballistic effect using the template subtraction method^{95–97} implemented in Brain-Vision Analyzer software (Brain Products GmbH, Munich, Germany).

During fMRI scans, we will simultaneously record EEG using a 31-electrode cap attached to an MRI-compatible BrainAmp MR Plus amplifier. The sintered Ag/AgCl ring electrodes are mounted into a scalp cap according to the standard 10-5 system. All electrodes are referenced to the FCz position, while a ground electrode is located at the AFz position. One additional electrode will be placed on the subjects' back to monitor the electrocardiographic signal. The impedance of all electrodes will be maintained below $10 k\Omega$ throughout the recording. The internal sampling clock of the EEG amplifier will be synchronised with the MRI scanner 10 MHz master clock signal using the SyncBox device (Brain Products GmbH, Munich, Germany), in order to prevent variant sampling of imaging artefacts and to facilitate artefact correction.⁹ The signals will be recorded at a sampling frequency of 5000 Hz with an analogue filter (from 0.016 to 250 Hz) and a resolution of $0.1 \,\mu$ V.

Besides independent EEG measures of brain state, and EEG-informed fMRI data analysis, we will use EEG data to correct the effects of head movements in simultaneously acquired fMRI data on a slice-by-slice basis.⁹⁸ This E-REMCOR, and recently developed automated version aE-REMCORE technique, will make it possible to regress out the effects of rapid head movements from unprocessed fMRI data on slice-by-slice basis prior to volume registration.⁹⁹ Thus, aE-REMCOR complements both the traditional fMRI volume registration approach, which performs better for slower head motions, and the RETRO-ICOR method for slice-specific correction of fMRI cardiorespiratory artefacts.¹⁰⁰ EEG-informed fMRI analysis will allow us to better elucidate and characterise normal and pathological interactions between cerebral function and behaviour, cognition or emotion.

fMRI preprocessing

Standard fMRI data preprocessing will include a slicetiming correction, signal scaling, spatial smoothing, physiological noise suppression^{100 101} and motion correction.

Task-based fMRI analysis First/subject-level analyses

Multiple regression will be used to analyse individual subjects' data, with predictors in the model constructed by convolving each column of the task design matrix with a canonical haemodynamic response function. Regressors of non-interest will be included in all models to account for (1) head motion (six motion variables) and (2) other sources causing drifts (each run's signal mean, linear, quadratic and cubic signal trends). The beta weights and corresponding t-statistics for image contrasts of interest will be produced for group-level analyses.

Second/group-level analyses

Both region of interest (ROI) and whole-brain analyses start with voxel-wise statistical tests using mixed-effects modelling on aggregations of maps of the subjects' betaweights and beta-weight standard errors (AFNI's 3dMEMA or in-house developed R code). This approach has the advantage of taking into account in the group analysis both effect estimates as well as their within-subject and between-subject variances. Correction for multiple comparisons will be conducted as follows. Statistical maps will either be corrected using the false-discovery rate or cluster level thresholds. For cluster level thresholds, AFNI's 3dClustSim (with spatial autocorrelation function adjustments) will be used to identify the required cluster-size threshold, given a voxel-wise probability of P<0.001, the smoothness of the residuals from the group level test and the size of the region tested (either wholebrain or an a priori defined ROI).

Resting state fMRI analysis *Preprocessing*

Data preprocessing will be conducted using afni_proc. py. The first three volumes of the functional scans will be discarded to allow the signal to reach T1 equilibrium, and a despiking algorithm will be used to remove any transient signal spikes from the data. Prior to slice time correction, physiological signals of non-interest (pulse, respiration) will be removed using RETROICOR. For each subject, the remaining volumes will be corrected for differences in slice acquisition time; head motion will be corrected by rigid body translation and rotation; the third volume of the functional run will be coregistered to the anatomical coordinates of the participant's structural scan by linear warping, then normalised to the Talairach template and resampled to $2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$ voxels. Longitudinal analysis For each participant, the time courses of the residual images from the preprocessing step will be averaged across voxels within each ROI, and Pearson's correlation coefficients will be computed between the mean signal time courses of pairs of ROIs. These correlation coefficients will be converted by Fisher r-to-z transformation, which will be used as predictors of treatment outcomes. The identified brain activation at ROIs and/or func-

tional connectivity z-scores will be analysed by PCA, and the extracted principal component scores will be used with scores from other units of analyses.

General unifying statistical approach

First/subject-level analyses

The goal of this project is to derive latent variables that adequately quantify the positive and negative valence, cognition and interoception/arousal domains across different units of analyses collected at baseline. The analysis of the variables that are extracted from each unit will consist of three steps. First, a PCA will be conducted for each unit of analysis to determine the number of independent df contributing to the variance observed in each unit. We expect to extract at least two independent components. The action units that show the highest correlation with the components will be used for subsequent analyses. Second, we will conduct a confirmatory factor analysis with the variables from each unit of analysis that showed the highest correlation with the principal components of four proposed factors-positive valence system, negative valence system, arousal/interoceptive system and cognitive system. We will subsequently test the statistical significance of the coefficients contributing to the factors. Finally, we will conduct a latent variable analysis as detailed below to relate one unit directly to another unit of analysis.

Statistical analysis plan

Baseline/cross-sectional analyses

We will relate different units of analyses by regularised generalised canonical correlation analysis (RGCCA).¹⁰² Classical CCA identifies linear combinations of two sets of variables such that their correlations are maximised. RGCCA extends classical CCA from two sets of variables to multiple sets. When applied to multiple units of analyses, RGCCA identifies linear combinations (canonical variates) of principal component scores within each unit of analyses, such that the sum of correlations or covariance across canonical variates is maximised. The results of RGCCA can be demonstrated as a network that shows which unit of analyses are connected, and which are

not. Moreover, the canonical correlations obtained from RGCCA can be used to define biotypes by cluster analysis from two sets of variables (clinical symptoms and resting state functional connectivity) to define biotypes.¹⁰³ These dimension-defined biotypes will be linked to the category-defined groups by cross tabulation.

The self-report outcomes will be measured at baseline and months 3, 6, 9 and 12, and these time trajectories will be compared between groups based on categorical diagnosis (comparison subjects, SU disorders, mood disorders and ED) and between dimensionally defined biotypes using models for longitudinal data-mixed effects and generalised estimating equations models. No functional form will be assumed for the time trajectories and profile models will be used (ie, time variable is treated as a factor in the model). The biotype/group effect will be measured as a time-by-group interaction. Comparisons between the time profiles of the groups will use appropriate Wald and likelihood ratio tests. In addition, linear time effects will be considered; these will be used if they are preferable to the profile models in model comparison using Akaike information criterion.

Statistical power

We will base statistical power on two considerations: (1) power to estimate latent factor models with precisions, and (2) accuracy of prediction of outcomes using baseline variables and latent factors as predictors. Although controversial,¹⁰⁴ typically one suggests that there should be at least n=10 subjects for each identified latent variable. In comparison, this study is likely to have up to n=100 subjects per latent construct. More recent recommendations for power take into account the quality of the indicators for the latent variables and the number of items per factor. For a moderate-to-low communality (conservative assumption), a sample size of n=300 would give an excellent coefficient of congruence of K=0.97. This allows for fitting latent factor models to each patient subgroup separately with adequate power.¹⁰⁵ We also compute power to predict the year follow-up clinical outcomes: assuming 100 HC, 100 ED, 500 MA and 300 SU participants at baseline and a uniform 20% attrition rate for each group at 1-year follow-up (ie, with remaining 80, 80, 400 and 240 participants in the corresponding groups), we will have 80% power to detect effect sizes (Cohen's D for betweengroup differences in changes from baseline to 1-year follow-up) of 0.57 (ED vs HC), 0.43 (MA vs HC or ED), 0.45 (SU vs HC or ED), 0.29 (MA vs SU) at two-sided type I error rate 0.05/6=0.008 (Bonferroni correction) in t-test for post hoc comparisons.

Ethics and dissemination

Gender/minority/paediatric inclusion for research

Women and minorities will be included in the study without prejudice and represented according to the study population. Participants will be recruited from the greater metropolitan areas of Tulsa, Oklahoma and efforts will be made to ensure the subject population is representative of the gender, ethnicity and racial demographics of the region according to the US Census Bureau data. No participants under the age of 18 years will be enrolled in the study.

Specimens, records, data collection

The collection and processing of personal data from subjects enrolled in this study will be limited to those data that are necessary to fulfil the objectives of the study. Study consent records will be stored in the locked records room at the Laureate Institute for Brain Research (LIBR). Only approved study personnel will have access to study records that contain any identifying information. Study data records and blood/urine/biological samples will be assigned code numbers and will not be individually identifiable. Code numbers are a combination of numbers and letters. The electronic data will be kept in a firewalled and password protected database on a secure server managed by LIBR. Vanderbilt University, with collaboration from a consortium of institutional partners, has developed a software toolset and workflow methodology for electronic collection and management of research and clinical trial data REDCap⁹⁰ data collection projects rely on a thorough study-specific data dictionary defined in an iterative self-documenting process by all members of the research team with planning assistance from the information technology staff. The iterative development and testing process results in a well-planned data collection strategy for individual studies. REDCap servers are housed in a local data centre at LIBR and all web-based information transmission is encrypted. REDCap was developed specifically around Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA)-Security guidelines and is recommended to LIBR researchers by both our Privacy Office and the WIRB. REDCap has been disseminated for use locally at other institutions and currently supports 240+ academic/non-profit consortium partners on six continents and over 26000 research end-users (www. project-redcap.org).

Records of the subject's participation in this study will be held confidential except as disclosure is required by law or as described in the informed consent document (under 'confidentiality'). The study doctor, the sponsor or persons working on behalf of the sponsor and under certain circumstances, the United States Food and Drug Administration and WIRB will be able to inspect and copy confidential study-related records which identify the subject by name. Therefore, absolute confidentiality cannot be guaranteed. If the results of this study are published or presented at meetings, the subject will not be identified. Paper copies of consents, screening forms, the Research Privacy Form and any other forms, testing results or papers containing Personally Identifiable Information (PII) will be stored in a secured medical records room with access granted only to authorised personnel.

Recruitment and consent procedure

Recruitment into the T-1000 study at the Laureate Institute for Brain Research will be ongoing for 4 years from January 2015 through December 2018. The study will be completed by December 2019 after the completion of the 1-year follow-ups from 2018. Study participants will be recruited through the clinical services of the Laureate Psychiatric Clinic and Hospital, local service providers for behavioural health, mental health and addiction and recovery (eg, Family and Children's Services, 12&12, local psychiatrist and physician offices) and through online, newspaper, flyer, radio or other media advertisements in the Tulsa metropolitan area. Participants will also be recruited through a preapproved LIBR Screening protocol (WIRB #20101611) and through the LIBR REDCap database. Informed consent will be obtained by members of the research team that have received training from the PI to obtain consent for this study. All participant interactions including consenting will be conducted in private interview/exam rooms. These exam rooms at LIBR are secured from public areas via combination locked doors that are only accessible to authorised personnel.

Expected outcomes

The final end point of this analysis will be a set of standardised multilevel latent variables that can be developed into clinical tools to help clinicians predict illness course and recovery at the individual patient level following the implementation of standard treatment interventions. These variables, which will focus on the prediction of mood, anxiety, eating or SU psychopathology, will be investigated in a number of different ways. A first approach will determine how measures of each domain across different units of analyses (eg, from molecules to mental processes) relate to one another. A second approach will involve identifying whether they predict the progression and severity of symptoms over time (including natural recovery or worsening of symptoms). A third approach will examine whether they predict responses to independently sought pharmacological or behavioural treatments. A fourth approach will be to investigate how these variables can be implemented in computational models of mental health to gain a better understanding of the underlying processes driving psychopathology. Additional approaches and outcomes are expected to emerge in the process of conducting these examinations. By establishing a robust and reliable dimensional set of latent variables that quantify the positive and negative valence, cognition and arousal/interoception RDoC domains, this project will take psychiatry a step closer towards personalised and biologically based medicine.^{28–30}

Collaborators University of Oklahoma, University of California San Diego, Rutgers University.

Contributors All authors made significant contributions to the conception and design of the study protocol. The protocol was written by MPP and TAV and critically reviewed by SSK, JS, JB, JSF, RLA, H-WY and WKS. All authors gave permission and approval for publication.

Funding This study is funded by The William K Warren Foundation.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval The study protocol is approved by the Western Institutional Review Board, Puyallup, Washington (WIRB, protocol number 194919).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- Moussavi S, Chatterji S, Verdes E, et al. Depression, chronic diseases, and decrements in health: results from the World Health Surveys. Lancet 2007;370:851–8.
- Kessler RC, Ruscio AM, Shear K, et al. Epidemiology of anxiety disorders. Curr Top Behav Neurosci 2010;2:21–35.
- Whiteford HA, Degenhardt L, Rehm J, et al. Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2013;382:1575–86.
- Kessler RC, Petukhova M, Sampson NA, et al. Twelve-month and lifetime prevalence and lifetime morbid risk of anxiety and mood disorders in the United States. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res 2012;21:169–84.
- Roy-Byrne PP, Davidson KW, Kessler RC, et al. Anxiety disorders and comorbid medical illness. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2008;30:208–25.
- Hudson JI, Hiripi E, Pope HG, et al. The prevalence and correlates of eating disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Biol Psychiatry* 2007;61:348–58.
- Sullivan PF. Mortality in anorexia nervosa. Am J Psychiatry 1995;152:1073–4.
- Suokas JT, Suvisaari JM, Gissler M, et al. Mortality in eating disorders: a follow-up study of adult eating disorder patients treated in tertiary care, 1995–2010. *Psychiatry Res* 2013;210:1101–6.
- McElroy SL, Guerdjikova AI, Mori N, et al. Psychopharmacologic treatment of eating disorders: emerging findings. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2015;17:35.
- Lock J. Treatment of adolescent eating disorders: progress and challenges. *Minerva Psichiatr* 2010;51:207–16.
- 11. Steinhausen HC. The outcome of anorexia nervosa in the 20th century. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002;159:1284–93.
- Bulik CM, Berkman ND, Brownley KA, et al. Anorexia nervosa treatment: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Int J Eat Disord 2007;40:310–20.
- Degenhardt L, Charlson F, Mathers B, et al. The global epidemiology and burden of opioid dependence: results from the global burden of disease 2010 study. Addiction 2014;109:1320–33.
- Degenhardt L, Baxter AJ, Lee YY, et al. The global epidemiology and burden of psychostimulant dependence: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2014;137:36–47.
- Laudet AB. What does recovery mean to you? Lessons from the recovery experience for research and practice. J Subst Abuse Treat 2007;33:243–56.
- Brecht ML, Herbeck D. Time to relapse following treatment for methamphetamine use: a long-term perspective on patterns and predictors. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2014;139:18–25.
- Calabria B, Degenhardt L, Briegleb C, et al. Systematic review of prospective studies investigating "remission" from amphetamine, cannabis, cocaine or opioid dependence. Addict Behav 2010;35:741–9.
- White WL. Addiction recovery: its definition and conceptual boundaries. J Subst Abuse Treat 2007;33:229–41.
- Hser YI, Evans E, Huang D, et al. Comparing the dynamic course of heroin, cocaine, and methamphetamine use over 10 years. Addict Behav 2008;33:1581–9.

- Stewart JL, Connolly CG, May AC, et al. Striatum and insula dysfunction during reinforcement learning differentiates abstinent and relapsed methamphetamine-dependent individuals. Addiction 2014;109:460–71.
- Stewart JL, May AC, Poppa T, et al. You are the danger: attenuated insula response in methamphetamine users during aversive interoceptive decision-making. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2014;142:110–9.
- Stewart JL, Connolly CG, May AC, et al. Cocaine dependent individuals with attenuated striatal activation during reinforcement learning are more susceptible to relapse. *Psychiatry Res* 2014;223:129–39.
- 23. May AC, Stewart JL, Migliorini R, *et al*. Methamphetamine dependent individuals show attenuated brain response to pleasant interoceptive stimuli. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2013;131:238–46.
- Camchong J, Macdonald AW, Mueller BA, et al. Changes in resting functional connectivity during abstinence in stimulant use disorder: a preliminary comparison of relapsers and abstainers. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2014;139:145–51.
- 25. Camchong J, Stenger A, Fein G. Resting-state synchrony during early alcohol abstinence can predict subsequent relapse. *Cereb Cortex* 2013;23:2086–99.
- Sanislow CA, Pine DS, Quinn KJ, et al. Developing constructs for psychopathology research: research domain criteria. J Abnorm Psychol 2010;119:631–9.
- APA. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV). 4th edn. American Psychiatric Press, 1994.
- McArdle JJ. Latent variable modeling of differences and changes with longitudinal data. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2009;60:577–605.
- Cagnone S, Moustaki I, Vasdekis V. Latent variable models for multivariate longitudinal ordinal responses. *Br J Math Stat Psychol* 2009;62:401–15.
- Rabe-Hesketh S, Skrondal A. Classical latent variable models for medical research. *Stat Methods Med Res* 2008;17:5–32.
- James W. The principles of psychology. American science series-advanced course. New York: H. Holt and Company, 1988.
- Health N.I.o.M. Positive valence systems: workshop proceedings. 2011. http://www.nimh.nih.gov/research-funding/rdoc/positivevalence-systems-workshop-proceedings.shtml (accessed 10 Dec 2012).
- Health N.I.o.M. Negative valence systems: workshop proceedings. 2011. http://www.nimh.nih.gov/research-funding/rdoc/negativevalence-systems-workshop-proceedings.shtml (accessed 10 Dec 2012).
- Clark LA, Watson D. Tripartite model of anxiety and depression: psychometric evidence and taxonomic implications. *J Abnorm Psychol* 1991;100:316–36.
- Chorpita BF. The tripartite model and dimensions of anxiety and depression: an examination of structure in a large school sample. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2002;30:177–90.
- Chorpita BF, Albano AM, Barlow DH. The structure of negative emotions in a clinical sample of children and adolescents. *J Abnorm Psychol* 1998;107:74–85.
- Weinstock LM, Whisman MA. Neuroticism as a common feature of the depressive and anxiety disorders: a test of the revised integrative hierarchical model in a national sample. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2006;115:68–74.
- Craske MG, Rauch SL, Ursano R, et al. What is an anxiety disorder? Depress Anxiety 2009;26:1066–85.
- Munakata Y, Herd SA, Chatham CH, et al. A unified framework for inhibitory control. Trends Cogn Sci 2011;15:453–9.
- Simon SL, Domier CP, Sim T, et al. Cognitive performance of current methamphetamine and cocaine abusers. J Addict Dis 2002;21:61–74.
- 41. Fillmore MT, Rush CR. Impaired inhibitory control of behavior in chronic cocaine users. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2002;66:265–73.
- Salo R, Nordahl TE, Possin K, et al. Preliminary evidence of reduced cognitive inhibition in methamphetamine-dependent individuals. *Psychiatry Res* 2002;111:65–74.
- Monterosso JR, Aron AR, Cordova X, et al. Deficits in response inhibition associated with chronic methamphetamine abuse. Drug Alcohol Depend 2005;79:273–7.
- Hester R, Simões-Franklin C, Garavan H. Post-error behavior in active cocaine users: poor awareness of errors in the presence of intact performance adjustments. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2007;32:1974–84.
- Tabibnia G, Monterosso JR, Baicy K, et al. Different forms of self-control share a neurocognitive substrate. J Neurosci 2011;31:4805–10.

- Hampton AN, Bossaerts P, O'Doherty JP. The role of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex in abstract state-based inference during decision making in humans. *J Neurosci* 2006;26:8360–7.
- Behrens TE, Woolrich MW, Walton ME, et al. Learning the value of information in an uncertain world. Nat Neurosci 2007;10:1214–21.
- Yu AJ, Dayan P. Uncertainty, neuromodulation, and attention. Neuron 2005;46:681–92.
- Yu AJ, Dayan P, Cohen JD. Dynamics of attentional selection under conflict: toward a rational Bayesian account. J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform 2009;35:700–17.
- Shenoy P, Yu AJ. Rational decision-making in inhibitory control. Front Hum Neurosci 2011;5.
- Ide JS, Shenoy P, Yu AJ, et al. Bayesian prediction and evaluation in the anterior cingulate cortex. J Neurosci 2013;33:2039–47.
- Craig AD. How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2002;3:655–66.
- Craig AD. How do you feel-now? The anterior insula and human awareness. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2009;10:59–70.
- 54. Cameron OG. Visceral sensory neuroscience: Interoception. New York, USA: Oxford University Press, 2002.
- 55. Craig AD. The sentient self. Brain Struct Funct 2010;214:563-77.
- Pollatos O, Kirsch W, Schandry R. On the relationship between interoceptive awareness, emotional experience, and brain processes. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res* 2005;25:948–62.
- Hölzl R, Erasmus LP, Möltner A. Detection, discrimination and sensation of visceral stimuli. *Biol Psychol* 1996;42:199–214.
- Mehling WE, Price C, Daubenmier JJ, et al. The Multidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness (MAIA). PLoS One 2012;7:e48230.
- 59. Vaitl D. Interoception. *Biol Psychol* 1996;42:1–27.
- Khalsa SS, Lapidus RC. Can interoception improve the pragmatic search for biomarkers in psychiatry? *Front Psychiatry* 2016;7:121.
- Cauda F, Costa T, Torta DM, et al. Meta-analytic clustering of the insular cortex: characterizing the meta-analytic connectivity of the insula when involved in active tasks. Neuroimage 2012;62:343–55.
- Weston CS. Another major function of the anterior cingulate cortex: the representation of requirements. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2012;36:90–110.
- Taylor KS, Seminowicz DA, Davis KD. Two systems of resting state connectivity between the insula and cingulate cortex. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2009;30:2731–45.
- 64. Ongür D, Price JL. The organization of networks within the orbital and medial prefrontal cortex of rats, monkeys and humans. *Cereb Cortex* 2000;10:206–19.
- Zhu B, Wang X, Li L. Human gut microbiome: the second genome of human body. *Protein Cell* 2010;1:718–25.
- Cani PD, Delzenne NM. Gut microflora as a target for energy and metabolic homeostasis. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2007;10:729–34.
- Costello EK, Lauber CL, Hamady M, et al. Bacterial community variation in human body habitats across space and time. Science 2009;326:1694–7.
- Mayer EA. Gut feelings: the emerging biology of gut-brain communication. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2011;12:453–66.
- Rhee SH, Pothoulakis C, Mayer EA. Principles and clinical implications of the brain-gut-enteric microbiota axis. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;6:306–14.
- Forsythe P, Sudo N, Dinan T, et al. Mood and gut feelings. Brain Behav Immun 2010;24:9–16.
- Brennand KJ, Marchetto MC, Benvenisty N, et al. Creating patientspecific neural cells for the in vitro study of brain disorders. Stem Cell Reports 2015;5:933–45.
- Ho SM, Topol A, Brennand KJ. From "directed differentiation" to "neuronal induction": modeling neuropsychiatric disease. *Biomark Insights* 2015;10:31–41.
- Brennand KJ, Simone A, Tran N, *et al*. Modeling psychiatric disorders at the cellular and network levels. *Mol Psychiatry* 2012;17:1239–53.
- Sullivan PF, Neale MC, Kendler KS. Genetic epidemiology of major depression: review and meta-analysis. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000;157:1552–62.
- Bulik CM, Thornton LM, Root TL, et al. Understanding the relation between anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa in a Swedish national twin sample. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010;67:71–7.
- Demers CH, Bogdan R, Agrawal A. The genetics, neurogenetics and pharmacogenetics of addiction. *Curr Behav Neurosci Rep* 2014;1:33–44.
- Ripke S, Wray NR, Lewis CM, et al. A mega-analysis of genomewide association studies for major depressive disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* 2013;18:497–511.

- Boraska V, Franklin CS, Floyd JA, et al. A genome-wide association study of anorexia nervosa. *Mol Psychiatry* 2014;19:1085–94.
- Zhou Z, Zhu G, Hariri AR, et al. Genetic variation in human NPY expression affects stress response and emotion. *Nature* 2008;452:997–1001.
- Lavebratt C, Olsson S, Backlund L, et al. The KMO allele encoding Arg452 is associated with psychotic features in bipolar disorder type 1, and with increased CSF KYNA level and reduced KMO expression. *Mol Psychiatry* 2014;19:334–41.
- Kohli MA, Lucae S, Saemann PG, et al. The neuronal transporter gene SLC6A15 confers risk to major depression. *Neuron* 2011;70:252–65.
- Miller AH, Raison CL. The role of inflammation in depression: from evolutionary imperative to modern treatment target. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2016;16:22–34.
- Mechawar N, Savitz J. Neuropathology of mood disorders: do we see the stigmata of inflammation? *Transl Psychiatry* 2016;6:e946.
- Dantzer R, O'Connor JC, Freund GG, et al. From inflammation to sickness and depression: when the immune system subjugates the brain. Nat Rev Neurosci 2008;9:46–56.
- Irwin MR, Cole SW. Reciprocal regulation of the neural and innate immune systems. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2011;11:625–32.
- Masi A, Quintana DS, Glozier N, et al. Cytokine aberrations in autism spectrum disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mol Psychiatry* 2015;20:440–6.
- Wang ÁK, Miller BJ. Meta-analysis of cerebrospinal fluid cytokine and tryptophan catabolite alterations in psychiatric patients: comparisons between schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and depression. *Schizophr Bull* 2017.
- Association, A.P. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM*-5. Washington, D.C: American Psychiatric Association, 2013.
- Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, et al. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry 1998;59:22–33.
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, *et al.* Research electronic data capture (REDCap)-a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009;42:377–81.
- Revelle W, Rocklin T. Very simple structure: an alternative procedure for estimating the optimal number of interpretable factors. *Multivariate Behav Res* 1979;14:403–14.
- Revelle W. psych: procedures for psychological, psychometric, and personality research. Evanston, Illinois: Northwestern University, 2015.
- Revelle W, Wilt J. The general factor of personality: a general critique. J Res Pers 2013;47:493–504.
- Cox RW. AFNI: software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance neuroimages. *Comput Biomed Res* 1996;29:162–73.
- Allen PJ, Josephs O, Turner R. A method for removing imaging artifact from continuous EEG recorded during functional MRI. *Neuroimage* 2000;12:230–9.
- Allen PJ, Polizzi G, Krakow K, et al. Identification of EEG events in the MR scanner: the problem of pulse artifact and a method for its subtraction. *Neuroimage* 1998;8:229–39.
 Mandelkow H, Halder P, Boesiger P, et al. Synchronization facilitates
- Mandelkow H, Halder P, Boesiger P, et al. Synchronization facilitates removal of MRI artefacts from concurrent EEG recordings and increases usable bandwidth. *Neuroimage* 2006;32:1120–6.
- Zotev V, Yuan H, Phillips R, et al. EEG-assisted retrospective motion correction for fMRI: E-REMCOR. *Neuroimage* 2012;63:698–712.
- Wong CK, Zotev V, Misaki M, et al. Automatic EEG-assisted retrospective motion correction for fMRI (aE-REMCOR). *Neuroimage* 2016;129:133–47.
- Glover GH, Li TQ, Ress D. Image-based method for retrospective correction of physiological motion effects in fMRI: RETROICOR. *Magn Reson Med* 2000;44:162–7.
- Birn RM, Smith MA, Jones TB, *et al*. The respiration response function: the temporal dynamics of fMRI signal fluctuations related to changes in respiration. *Neuroimage* 2008;40:644–54.
- Tenenhaus A, Tenenhaus M. Regularized generalized canonical correlation analysis. *Psychometrika* 2011;76:257–284.
- Drysdale AT, Grosenick L, Downar J, et al. Resting-state connectivity biomarkers define neurophysiological subtypes of depression. Nat Med 2017;23:28–38.
- Wolf EJ, Harrington KM, Clark SL, et al. Sample size requirements for structural equation models: an evaluation of power, bias, and solution propriety. *Educ Psychol Meas* 2013;76:913–34.
- MacCallum RC, Widaman KF, Zhang S, et al. Sample size in factor analysis. Psychol Methods 1999;4:84–99.

<u>6</u>

Open Access

- 106. Brown SA, Myers MG, Lippke L, *et al.* Psychometric evaluation of the Customary Drinking and Drug Use Record (CDDR): a measure of adolescent alcohol and drug involvement. *J Stud Alcohol* 1998;59:427–38.
- 107. Oldfield RC. The assessment and analysis of handedness: the Edinburgh inventory. *Neuropsychologia* 1971;9:97–113.
- Milne BJ, Caspi A, Crump R, et al. The validity of the family history screen for assessing family history of mental disorders. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2009;150B:41–9.
- Mundt JC, Greist JH, Gelenberg AJ, et al. Feasibility and validation of a computer-automated Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale using interactive voice response technology. J Psychiatr Res 2010;44:1224–8.
- 110. Posner K, Brown GK, Stanley B, *et al.* The columbia-suicide severity rating scale: initial validity and internal consistency findings from three multisite studies with adolescents and adults. *Am J Psychiatry* 2011;168:1266–77.
- 111. Wong DL, Baker CM. Pain in children: comparison of assessment scales. *Pediatr Nurs* 1988;14:9–17.
- 112. Spielberger CD. *Manual for the state-trait anxiety inventory (Form Y)*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, 1983.
- 113. Taylor S, Koch WJ, McNally RJ, *et al*. Conceptualizations of anxiety sensitivity. *Psychol Assess* 1992;4:245–50.
- 114. Treynor W. Rumination reconsidered: a psychometric analysis. *Cognit Ther Res* 2003;27:247–59.
- 115. Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, İbrahim HM, *et al.* The 16-Item Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS), clinician rating (QIDS-C), and self-report (QIDS-SR): a psychometric evaluation in patients with chronic major depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2003;54:573–83.
- Vrana S, Lauterbach D. Prevalence of traumatic events and posttraumatic psychological symptoms in a nonclinical sample of college students. *J Trauma Stress* 1994;7:289–302.
- Bernstein DP, Fink L, Handelsman L, et al. Initial reliability and validity of a new retrospective measure of child abuse and neglect. *Am J Psychiatry* 1994;151:1132–6.
- Watson D, Clark LA. The PANAS-X: manual for the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule- expanded form. Ames: The University of Iowa, 1994.
- 119. Carver CS, White TL, Inhibition B. Behavioral activation, and affective responses to impending reward and punishment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 1994;67:319–33.
- Gard DE, Gard MG, Kring AM, et al. Anticipatory and consummatory components of the experience of pleasure: a scale development study. J Res Pers 2006;40:1086–102.
- 121. Whiteside SP, Lynam DR, Miller JD, *et al.* Validation of the UPPS impulsive behaviour scale: a four-factor model of impulsivity. *Eur J Pers* 2005;19:559–74.
- 122. Davis MA. A multidimensional approach to individual differences in empathy. *JSAS Catalog of Selected Documents in Psychology* 1980;10:85.
- Davis MH. Measuring individual differences in empathy: evidence for a multidimensional approach. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1983;44:113–26.
- John OP, Srivastava S. In: Pervin LA, John OP, eds. *The Big-Five trait taxonomy: history, measurement, and theoretical perspectives, in handbook of personality: theory and research.* NewYork: GuilfordPress, 1999:102–38.
- Bagby RM, Parker JD, Taylor GJ. The twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale-I. Item selection and cross-validation of the factor structure. *J Psychosom Res* 1994;38:23–32.
- 126. Bagby RM, Taylor GJ, Parker JD. The Twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale-II. Convergent, discriminant, and concurrent validity. *J Psychosom Res* 1994;38:33–40.
- 127. Stunkard AJ, Messick S. The three-factor eating questionnaire to measure dietary restraint, disinhibition and hunger. *J Psychosom Res* 1985;29:71–83.
- Bond MJ, McDowell AJ, Wilkinson JY. The measurement of dietary restraint, disinhibition and hunger: an examination of the factor structure of the Three Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ). *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2001;25:900–6.
- Shearin EN, Russ MJ, Hull JW, et al. Construct validity of the threefactor eating questionnaire: Flexible and rigid control subscales. Int J Eat Disord 1994;16:187–98.
- Stice E, Telch CF, Rizvi SL. Development and validation of the eating disorder diagnostic scale: a brief self-report measure of anorexia, bulimia, and binge-eating disorder. *Psychol Assess* 2000;12:123–31.
- Wilson MM, Thomas DR, Rubenstein LZ, et al. Appetite assessment: simple appetite questionnaire predicts weight loss in community-dwelling adults and nursing home residents. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;82:1074–81.

- Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2003;35:1381–95.
 Michtell H, W. C. Stattanov, Stattano
- 133. World Health Organization. In: Ustün TB, ed. *Measuring health and disability: manual for WHO Disability Assessment Schedule (WHODAS 2.0)*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press, 2010.
- Kessler RC, Barber C, Beck A, et al. The World Health Organization Health and Work Performance Questionnaire (HPQ). J Occup Environ Med 2003;45:156–74.
- 135. Cella D, Riley W, Stone A, et al. The Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) developed and tested its first wave of adult self-reported health outcome item banks: 2005-2008. J Clin Epidemiol 2010;63:1179–94.
- 136. Hilton TF. The promise of PROMIS(®) for addiction. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2011;119:229–34.
- Yu AJ, Cohen JD, 2009. Advances in neural information processing systems. Sequential effects: Superstition or rational behavior? 1873–80
- 138. Knox WB, Otto AR, Stone P, *et al*. The nature of belief-directed exploratory choice in human decision-making. *Front Psychol* 2011;2:398.
- 139. Huang H, *et al.* Disambiguating approach and avoidance tendencies. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0143714.
- 140. Heuer K, Rinck M, Becker ES. Avoidance of emotional facial expressions in social anxiety: the approach-avoidance task. *Behav* Res Ther 2007;45:2990–3001.
- 141. Matsumoto D, Ekman P. Japanese and Caucasian Facial Expressions of Emotion (JACFEE). San Francisco, CA: Intercultural and Emotion Research Laboratory, Department of Psychology, San Francisco State University, 1988.
- 142. Lang PJ, Bradley MM, Cuthbert BN. International affective picture system (IAPS): Affective ratings of pictures and instruction manual. Technical Report A-8. Gainesville, FL: The Center for Research in Psychophysiology, University of Florida, 2008.
- Aupperle RL, Sullivan S, Melrose AJ, et al. A reverse translational approach to quantify approach-avoidance conflict in humans. Behav Brain Res 2011;225:455–63.
- 144. Lovallo W. The cold pressor test and autonomic function: a review and integration. *Psychophysiology* 1975;12:268–82.
- 145. Edes B, Dallenbach KM. The adaptation of pain aroused by cold. *Am J Psychol* 1936;48:307–15.
- Wilkinson GS, Robertson GJ. Wide Range Achievement Test 4 professional manual. Lutz, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources, 2006.
- 147. Delis DC, Kaplan E. *Delis-Kaplan executive function battery*. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation, 2001.
- Wechsler D, Coalson DL, Raiford SE. WAIS-IV technical and interpretive manual. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation, 2008.
- 149. Delis DC, *et al. The California verbal learning test*. 2nd edn. San Antonio: The Psychological Corporation, 2000.
- Dowlati Y, Herrmann N, Swardfager W, et al. A meta-analysis of cytokines in major depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010;67:446–57.
- 151. Hiles SA, Baker AL, de Malmanche T, *et al.* A meta-analysis of differences in IL-6 and IL-10 between people with and without depression: exploring the causes of heterogeneity. *Brain Behav Immun* 2012;26:1180–8.
- Modabbernia A, Taslimi S, Brietzke E, *et al.* Cytokine alterations in bipolar disorder: a meta-analysis of 30 studies. *Biol Psychiatry* 2013;74:15–25.
- 153. Pisetsky DS, Trace SE, Brownley KA, *et al.* The expression of cytokines and chemokines in the blood of patients with severe weight loss from anorexia nervosa: an exploratory study. *Cytokine* 2014;69:110–5.
- 154. Padmos RC, Hillegers MH, Knijff EM, et al. A discriminating messenger RNA signature for bipolar disorder formed by an aberrant expression of inflammatory genes in monocytes. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2008;65:395–407.
- Drexhage RC, Hoogenboezem TH, Versnel MA, *et al.* The activation of monocyte and T cell networks in patients with bipolar disorder. *Brain Behav Immun* 2011;25:1206–13.
- Pandey GN, Ren X, Rizavi HS, et al. Abnormal gene expression of proinflammatory cytokines and their receptors in the lymphocytes of patients with bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord* 2015;17:636–44.
- 157. Savitz J, Frank MB, Victor T, *et al.* Inflammation and neurological disease-related genes are differentially expressed in depressed patients with mood disorders and correlate with morphometric and functional imaging abnormalities. *Brain Behav Immun* 2013;31:161–71.
- Savitz J, Drevets WC, Smith CM, et al. Putative neuroprotective and neurotoxic kynurenine pathway metabolites are associated

with hippocampal and amygdalar volumes in subjects with major depressive disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2015;40:463–71.

- Savitz J, Drevets WC, Wurfel BE, et al. Reduction of kynurenic acid to quinolinic acid ratio in both the depressed and remitted phases of major depressive disorder. Brain Behav Immun 2015;46:55–9.
- Bay-Richter C, Linderholm KR, Lim CK, et al. A role for inflammatory metabolites as modulators of the glutamate N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor in depression and suicidality. Brain Behav Immun 2015;43:110–7.
- Justinova Z, Mascia P, Wu HQ, et al. Reducing cannabinoid abuse and preventing relapse by enhancing endogenous brain levels of kynurenic acid. Nat Neurosci 2013;16:1652–61.
- Breunis MN, Kupka RW, Nolen WA, et al. High numbers of circulating activated T cells and raised levels of serum IL-2 receptor in bipolar disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2003;53:157–65.
- 163. Poletti S, de Wit H, Mazza E, et al. Th17 cells correlate positively to the structural and functional integrity of the brain in bipolar depression and healthy controls. *Brain Behav Immun* 2017;61:317–25.
- 164. Irwin M, Gillin JC. Impaired natural killer cell activity among depressed patients. *Psychiatry Res* 1987;20:181–2.
- Irwin M, Lacher U, Caldwell C. Depression and reduced natural killer cytotoxicity: a longitudinal study of depressed patients and control subjects. *Psychol Med* 1992;22:1045–50.
- 166. Harms R, Morsey B, Boyer CW, et al. Methamphetamine administration targets multiple immune subsets and induces

phenotypic alterations suggestive of immunosuppression. *PLoS* One 2012;7:e49897.

- Yolken RH, Torrey EF. Are some cases of psychosis caused by microbial agents? A review of the evidence. *Mol Psychiatry* 2008;13:470–9.
- Simanek AM, Cheng C, Yolken R, et al. Herpesviruses, inflammatory markers and incident depression in a longitudinal study of Detroit residents. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2014;50:139–48.
- Knutson B, Bhanji JP, Cooney RE, et al. Neural responses to monetary incentives in major depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2008;63:686–92.
- Knutson B, Adams CM, Fong GW, et al. Anticipation of increasing monetary reward selectively recruits nucleus accumbens. J Neurosci 2001;21:159–64.
- Matthews SC, Simmons AN, Arce E, et al. Dissociation of inhibition from error processing using a parametric inhibitory task during functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Neuroreport* 2005;16:755–60.
- Simmons WK, Rapuano KM, Kallman SJ, et al. Category-specific integration of homeostatic signals in caudal but not rostral human insula. *Nat Neurosci* 2013;16:1551–2.
- Sehlmeyer C, Schöning S, Zwitserlood P, et al. Human fear conditioning and extinction in neuroimaging: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2009;4:e5865.