Appendix

Search Strategy: MEDLINE (PubMed)

- 01. osteopath* AND medicine
- 02. osteopath* AND treatment
- 03. osteopath* AND manipulat*
- 04. Manipulation, Osteopathic [Mesh]
- 05. Osteopathic Medicine [Mesh]
- 06. 01 OR 02 OR 03 OR 04 OR 05
- 07. meta-analysis
- 08. meta-analysis
- 09. metaanalysis
- 10. systematic review
- 11. review
- 12. Review Literature as Topic [Mesh]
- 13. Review" [Publication Type]
- 14. Meta-Analysis [Publication Type]
- 15. Meta-Analysis as Topic"[Mesh]
- 16. 07 OR 08 OR 09 OR 10 OR 11 OR 12 OR 13 OR 14 OR 15
- 17. 06 AND 16

Supplemental Table 1. Excluded systematic reviews.

First author, year	Title	Reason for exclusion		
Schwerla, 1999 ¹	[Evaluation and critical review published in the European literature on osteopathic studies in the clinical field and in the area of fundamental research].	The SR included any type of study design.		
Spiegel, 2003 ²	Osteopathic manipulative medicine in the treatment of hypertension: An alternative, conventional approach.	Narrative review.		
Gamber, 2005 ³	Cost-effective osteopathic manipulative medicine: a literature review of cost-effectiveness analyses for osteopathic manipulative treatment.	Evaluation of OMT cost-effectiveness.		
Licciardone, 2005 ⁴	Osteopathic manipulative treatment for low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.	The SR included primary studies in which the intervention was not performed by osteopathic physicians or osteopaths.		
Jäkel, 2011 ⁵	Therapeutic effects of cranial osteopathic manipulative medicine: a systematic review.	The SR included primary studies in healthy volunteers.		
Posadzki, 2011 ⁶	Osteopathy for musculoskeletal pain patients: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials.	The SR included primary studies in healthy volunteers and intervention was not performed by osteopathic physicians or osteopaths.		
Orrock, 2013 ⁷	Osteopathic intervention in chronic non-specific low back pain: a systematic review.	Overlap: 2 out of 2 studies. This SR was update by Franke 2014 ²⁵ .		
Cerritelli, 2015 ⁸	Osteopathic manipulative treatment in neurological diseases: systematic review of the literature.	The SR included any type of study design.		
Cicchitti, 20159	Chronic inflammatory disease and osteopathy: a systematic review.	The SR included study with an animal model and any type of study designs.		
Majchrzycki, 2015 ¹⁰	Application of osteopathic manipulative technique in the treatment of back pain during pregnancy.	The SR included primary studies in which the intervention was not performed by osteopathic physicians or osteopaths.		
Vasconcelos, 2015 ¹¹	Effect of osteopathic maneuvers in the treatment of asthma: review of literature.	The SR included any type of study design, and the intervention was not performed by osteopathic physicians or osteopaths.		
Guillard, 2016 ¹²	Reliability of diagnosis and clinical efficacy of cranial osteopathy: a systematic review.	The SR included primary study in which the intervention was not performed by osteopathic physicians or osteopaths.		
Kruger, 2016 ¹³	Osteopathic treatment of irritable bowel syndrome - A review.	Overlap:4 out 4 studies. Most rigorous criteria were used in Muller's SR ³² .		
Ruffini, 2016 ¹⁴	Osteopathic manipulative treatment in gynecology and obstetrics: A systematic review.	The SR included any type of study designs.		
Veloso, 2016 ¹⁵	Osteopathic Manipulation Treatment on postural balance: a systematic review.	The SR included any type of study designs.		
Raguckas, 2016 ¹⁶	Osteopathic considerations in obstructive pulmonary disease: A systematic review of the evidence.	The SR included any type of study designs.		
Ahmad, 2017 ¹⁷	Current Clinical Status of Osteopathy: Study Based on Retrospective Evidences of Six Years, A Systemic Review.	The SR included any type of study design, and the intervention was not performed by osteopathic physicians or osteopaths.		
Do Vale, 2017 ¹⁸	Effectiveness of the osteopathic treatment in intestinal constipation: A systematic review.	Clinical outcomes are not reported.		
Steel, 2017 ¹⁹	Osteopathic manipulative treatment: A systematic review and critical appraisal of comparative effectiveness and health economics research.	The SR included any study designs.		
Lanaro, 2017 ²⁰	Osteopathic manipulative treatment showed reduction of length of stay and costs in preterm infants.	The SR included RCTs and controlled clinical trials.		
Guillaud, 2018 ²¹	Reliability of diagnosis and clinical efficacy of visceral osteopathy: A systematic review.	The SR included primary study in which the intervention was not performed by osteopathic physicians or osteopaths.		
Potekhina, 2018 ²²	Osteopathy is a new medical specialty. Assessment of clinical effectiveness of osteopathic manipulative therapy in various diseases.	The SR included any type of study design, and the intervention was not performed by osteopathic physicians or osteopaths.		
Saracutu, 2018 ²³	The effects of osteopathic treatment on psychosocial factors in people with persistent pain: A systematic review.	The SR included primary studies in which the intervention was not performed by osteopathic physicians or osteopaths.		
Sposato, 2018 ²⁴	Osteopathic manipulative treatment in surgical care: short review of research publication in osteopathic Journals during the period 1990 to 2017.	The SR included any study designs.		
Verhaeghe, 2018 ²⁵	Osteopathic care for spinal complaints: A systematic literature review.	The SR included primary studies in which the intervention was not performed by osteopathic physicians or osteopaths.		
Verhaeghe, 2018 ²⁶	Osteopathic care for low back pain and neck pain. A cost-utility analysis.	Health economic evaluation of osteopathic care in low back pain and neck pain. Data about clinical outcomes were not completely reported.		

Effectiveness of an osteopathic treatment on the autonomic nervous system: a systematic review of the literature.	The SR included any type of study design, primary studies in healthy participants and intervention was not performed by osteopathic physicians or osteopaths.
Is visceral manipulation beneficial for patients with low back pain? A systematic review of the literature.	The SR included primary studies in which the intervention was not performed by osteopathic physicians or osteopaths.
Endocannabinoids release after osteopathic manipulative treatment. A brief review.	The SR included any type of study designs.
Instrumentation used to assess pain in osteopathic interventions: A critical literature review.	Clinical outcomes are not reported.
Effects of osteopathic manipulative treatment on musicians: A systematic review.	The SR included any type of study designs.
Osteopathic manipulative treatment and the Spanish flu: a historical literature review.	Historical review evaluating which OMT technique were administered in patients during the 1918 Spanish flu pandemic.
Vertigo and balance disorders- The role of osteopathic manipulative treatment: A systematic review.	The SR included any type of study designs and primary study in healthy participants.
Pediatric osteopathic manipulative medicine: A scoping review.	The SR included any type of study designs.
	system: a systematic review of the literature. Is visceral manipulation beneficial for patients with low back pain? A systematic review of the literature. Endocannabinoids release after osteopathic manipulative treatment. A brief review. Instrumentation used to assess pain in osteopathic interventions: A critical literature review. Effects of osteopathic manipulative treatment on musicians: A systematic review. Osteopathic manipulative treatment and the Spanish flu: a historical literature review. Vertigo and balance disorders-The role of osteopathic manipulative treatment: A systematic review.

OMT: osteopathic manipulative treatment, RCTs: randomized controlled trials, SR: systematic review.

References

- 1. Schwerla F, Hass-Degg K, Schwerla B. [Evaluation and critical review published in the European literature on osteopathic studies in the clinical field and in the area of fundamental research]. Forsch Komplementarmed. 1999; 6 (6):302-10. doi:10.1159/000021285.
- 2. Spiegel AJ, Capobianco JD, Kruger A, et al. Osteopathic manipulative medicine in the treatment of hypertension: an alternative, conventional approach. *Heart Dis.* 2003;5:272-278. doi:10.1097/01.hdx.0000080718.70719.88.
- 3. Gamber R, Holland S, Russo DP, et al. Cost-effective osteopathic manipulative medicine: a literature review of cost-effectiveness analyses for osteopathic manipulative treatment. *J Am Osteopath Assoc.* 2005;105:357-367.
- 4. Licciardone JC, Brimhall AK, King LN. Osteopathic manipulative treatment for low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2005;6:43. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-6-43.
- 5. Jäkel A, von Hauenschild P, Jakel A, et al. Therapeutic effects of cranial osteopathic manipulative medicine: a systematic review. *J Am Osteopath Assoc.* 2011;111:685-693.
- 6. Posadzki P, Ernst E. Osteopathy for musculoskeletal pain patients: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2011;30:285-291. doi:10.1007/s10067-010-1600-6.
- 7. Orrock PJ, Myers SP. Osteopathic intervention in chronic non-specific low back pain: a systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2013;14:129. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-14-129. 8. Cerritelli F, Ruffini N, Lacorte E, et al. Osteopathic manipulative treatment in neurological diseases: Systematic review of the literature. *J Neurol Sci*. 2016;369:333-341. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2016.08.062.
- 9. Cicchiti L, Martelli M, Cerritelli F. Chronic inflammatory disease and osteopathy: A systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0121327. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121327.
- 10. Majchrzycki M, Wolski H, Seremak-Mrozikiewicz A, et al. Application of osteopathic manipulative technique in the treatment of back pain during pregnancy. *Polish Gynaecol*. 2015;86:224-228. doi:10.17772/gp/2066.
- 11. Vasconcelos Lago RM, da Silva Filho MAF, Nery dos Santos AC. Effect of osteopathic maneuvers in the treatment of asthma: review of literature. *Man Ther Posturology Rehabil J.* 2015;13:1-5. doi:10.17784/mtprehabJournal.2015.13.262.

- 12. Guillaud A, Darbois N, Monvoisin R, et al. Reliability of diagnosis and clinical efficacy of cranial osteopathy: A systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2016;11:e0167823. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167823.
- 13.Kruger S. Osteopathic treatment of irritable bowel syndrome A review.Osteopathische Medizin. 2016;17(4):22-26. doi:10.1016/S1615-9071 (16)30083-1.
- 14. Ruffini N, D'Alessandro G, Cardinali L, et al. Osteopathic manipulative treatment in gynecology and obstetrics: A systematic review. *Complement Ther Med.* 2016;26:72-78. doi:10.1016/j.ctim.2016.03.005.
- 15. Fantinel Veloso C, Ferreira da Silveira A, Vargas Garcia M, et al. Osteopathic Manipulation Treatment on postural balance: a systematic review. *Man Ther Posturology Rehabil J*. 2016;14:1-4. doi:10.17784/mtprehabjournal.2016.14.352.
- 16. Raguckas C, Ference J, Gross GA. Osteopathic considerations in obstructive pulmonary disease: A systematic review of the evidence. *Osteopath Fam Physician*. 2016;8:28-40.
- 17. Ahmad R. Current Clinical Status of Osteopathy: Study Based on Retrospective Evidences of Six Years, A Systemic Review. *Annual Research and Review in Biology*. 2017;20 (1): ARRB 37675.doi: 10.9734/ARRB/2017/37675.
- 18. Do Vale JR, Borges De Carvalho HF; Ângelo Andrade VL, et al. Effectiveness of the ostheopatic treatment in intestinal constipation: A systematic review. *GED Gastrenterologia Endosc Dig.* 2017;36:68-76.
- 19. Steel A, Sundberg T, Reid R, et al. Osteopathic manipulative treatment: A systematic review and critical appraisal of comparative effectiveness and health economics research. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract*. 2017;27:165-175. doi:10.1016/j.math.2016.10.067.
- 20.Lanaro D, Ruffini N, Manzotti A, et al. Osteopathic manipulative treatment showed reduction of length of stay and costs in preterm infants. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2017;96:e6408. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000006408.
- 21. Guillaud A, Darbois N, Monvoisin R, et al. Reliability of diagnosis and clinical efficacy of visceral osteopathy: A systematic review. *BMC Complement Altern Med.* 2018;18:65. doi:10.1186/s12906-018-2098-8.
- 22. Potekhina YP, Tregubova ES, Mokhov DE. Osteopathy is a new medical specialty. assessment of clinical effectiveness of osteopathic manipulative therapy in various diseases. Medical News of North Caucasus. 2018;13(3):560-565. doi: 10.14300/mnnc.2018.13105.
- 23. Saracutu M, Rance J, Davies H, et al. The effects of osteopathic treatment on psychosocial factors in people with persistent pain: A systematic review. *Int J Osteopath Med.* 2018;27:23-33. doi:10.1016/j.ijosm.2017.10.005.
- 24. Sposato NS, Bjersa K. Osteopathic Manipulative Treatment in Surgical Care: Short Review of Research Publications in Osteopathic Journals During the Period 1990 to 2017. *J evidence-based Integr Med*. 2018;23:1-8. doi:10.1177/2515690X18767671.
- 25. Verhaeghe N, Schepers J, van Dun P, et al. Osteopathic care for spinal complaints: A systematic literature review. *PLoS One*. 2018;13:e0206284. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0206284.
- 26. Verhaeghe N, Schepers J, van Dun P, et al. Osteopathic care for low back pain and neck pain: A cost-utility analysis. *Complement Ther Med.* 2018;40:207-213. doi:10.1016/j.ctim.2018.06.001.
- 27. Whalen J, Yao S, Leder A. A Short Review of the Treatment of Headaches Using Osteopathic Manipulative Treatment. *Curr Pain Headache Rep.* 2018;22:82. doi:10.1007/s11916-018-0736-y.
- 28. Jäkel A, von Hauenschild P. Therapeutic effects of cranial osteopathic manipulative medicine: a systematic review. *J Am Osteopath Assoc*. 2011;111:685-693.

- 29. Switters JM, Podar S, Perraton L, et al. Is visceral manipulation beneficial for patients with low back pain? A systematic review of the literature. *Int J Osteopath Med.* 2019;33:16-23. doi:10.1016/j.ijosm.2019.09.002.
- 30. Buscemi A, Martino S, Scirè Campisi S, et al. Endocannabinoids release after Osteopathic Manipulative Treatment. A brief review. *J Complement Integr Med.* Published online 2020. doi:10.1515/jcim-2020-0013.
- 31. Santiago RJ, Esteves J, Baptista JS, et al. Instrumentation used to assess pain in osteopathic interventions: A critical literature review. *Int J Osteopath Med.* 2020;37:25-33. doi:10.1016/j.ijosm.2020.05.007.
- 32. Kiepe M-S, Fernholz I, Schmidt T, et al. Effects of osteopathic manipulative treatment on musicians: A systematic review. *Med Probl Perform Art.* 2020;35:110-115. doi:10.21091/mppa.2020.2017.
- 33. Baroni F, Mancini D, Tuscano SC, et al. Osteopathic manipulative treatment and the Spanish flu: a historical literature review. *J Am Osteopath Assoc.* 2021;121:181-190. doi:10.1515/jom-2020-0112.
- 34. Tramontano M, Consorti G, Morone G, et al. Vertigo and Balance Disorders-The Role of Osteopathic Manipulative Treatment: A Systematic Review. *Complement Med Res.* Published online 2021. doi:10.1159/000512673.
- 35. DeMarsh S, Huntzinger A, Gehred A, et al. Pediatric osteopathic manipulative medicine: A scoping review. *Pediatrics*. 2021;147:1-16. doi:10.1542/peds.2020-016162.

Supplemental Table 2. Summary of identified systematic reviews with overlapping.

Total SRs (n=9)	Total	overlapping	Total	
Total trials	71	14	57	
Total participants	5577	1837	3740	
Musculoskeletal conditions (6 SRs) ²⁴⁻²⁹				
Total trials	44	14	30	
Total participants	4251	1837	2414	
Trials low back pain	34	12	22	
Participants low back pain	3369	1316	2053	
Trials neck pain	3	0	3	
Participants neck pain	123	0	123	
Trials chronic non-cancer pain	7	2	5	
Participants chronic non-cancer pain	759	521	238	
Paediatric conditions (1 SR) ³⁰				
Trials pediatrics conditions	17	0	17	
Participants pediatric conditions	887	0	887	
Neurological conditions (1 SR) ³¹				
Trials primary headache	5	0	5	
Participants primary headache	235	0	235	
Visceral conditions (1 SR) ³²				
Trials irritable bowel syndrome	5	0	5	
Participants irritable bowel syndrome	204	0	204	

SR: systematic review.

Supplemental Table 3. Identified SRs with studies overlapping.

Franke, 2014 ²⁵		De Oliveira Meirelles, 2013 ²⁴		Dal Farra, 2020 ²⁷		Rehman, 2020 ²⁹		Franke, 2017 ²⁶	
Primary studies	Participants	Primary studies	Participants	Primary studies	Participants	Primary studies	Participants	Primary studies	Participar
Chown 2008	71			Chown 2008	131*	Albers 2018	48	Rohrich 2014	35
Gibson 1985	97					Cuccia 2010	50	Beltz 2014	54
Licciardone 2003	71	Licciardone 2003	71	Licciardone 2003	98**	Licciardone 2003	66	Schwerla 2015	80
Licciardone 2010	144	Licciardone 2010	144					Licciardone 2010	144
Licciardone 2013	455	Cleary 1994	12	Licciardone 2013	455	Licciardone 2013	455	Hensel 2015	400
Mandara 2008	94	Burton 2000	30	Mandara 2008	94	Papa 2012	72		
Peters 2006	57					Schwerla 2008	37	Peters 2006	57
Grundemann 2013	41					Stepnik 2018	31	Gundemann 2013	41
Recknagle 2007	39			De Oliveira 2019	38			Recknagle 2007	39
Vismara 2012	21	Vismara 2012	21	Vismara 2012	21				
Anderson 1999	155								
Adorjàn - Schaumann 1999	57								
Heinze 2006	60								
Cruser 2012	60								
Schwerla 2012	80								
Trials 15	TP 1502	Trials 5	TP 278	Trials 6	TP 739	Trials 7	TP 759	Trials 8	TP 850

Supplemental Table 4. Traffic light a	and overall traffic light	evidence for	or each condit	on.		
MUSCULOSCHELETAL CONDITIONS	First author, year	GRADE	Effect size	Traffic light evidence	Downgrade	Overall traffic light evidence \$
1. ANSLBP/CNSLBP §						
Pain	Franke, 2014 ²⁵	moderate	medium		Least favourable assessment from new RoB	
rum	Dal Farra, 2020 ²⁷	low	medium		Low GRADE	
Employed status	Franke, 2014 ²⁵	moderate	small		Least favourable assessment from new RoB	
Functional status	Dal Farra, 2020 ²⁷	low	medium		Low GRADE	
2. CNSLBP §						
n :	Franke, 2014 ²⁵	moderate	small		Least favourable assessment from new RoB	
Pain	Dal Farra, 2020 ²⁷	low	medium		Low GRADE	
Europian of status	Franke, 2014 ²⁵	high	small		Least favourable assessment from new RoB	
Functional status	Dal Farra, 2020 ²⁷	low	small		Low GRADE	
3. NSLBP in Pregnancy §						
n ·	Franke, 2014 ²⁵	low	medium		Low GRADE	
Pain	Franke, 2017 ²⁶	moderate	medium		Least favourable assessment from new RoB	
Europian of status	Franke, 2014 ²⁵	low	medium		Low GRADE	
Functional status	Franke, 2017 ²⁶	moderate	small		Least favourable assessment from new RoB	
4. NSLBP in PP						
n :	Franke, 2014 ²⁵	moderate	large		Least favourable assessment from new RoB	
Pain	Franke, 2017 ²⁶	low	large		Low GRADE	
	Franke, 2014 ²⁵	moderate	small		Least favourable assessment from new RoB	
Functional status	Franke, 2017 ²⁶	low	small		Low GRADE	
5. LBP WITH SCIATICA						
Pain	De Oliveira, 2013 ²⁴	NP	NP		Critically low SR	
6. LBP with MENOPAUSAL SYMPTOMS						
Pain	De Oliveira, 2013 ²⁴	NP	NP		Critically low SR	
7. CNSNP						
Pain	Franke, 2015 ²⁸	moderate	medium		Least favourable assessment from new RoB	
Functional status	Franke, 2015 ²⁸	moderate	small		Least favourable assessment from new RoB	
8. CNCP						
Pain	Rehman, 2020 ²⁹	moderate	small		No judgement for imprecision	
Disabiliy	Rehman, 2020 ²⁹	moderate	small		No judgement for imprecision	
Quality of life	Rehman, 2020 ²⁹	moderate	medium		No judgement for imprecision	
PAEDIATRIC CONDITIONS						
Outcomes for different conditions *	Posadzky, 2013 ³⁰	NP	NP		High risk of bias and critically low quality of SR	
NEUROLOGICAL CONDITIONS						
Outcomes for migraine and tension type headache**	Cerritelli, 2017 ³¹	NP	NP		High risk of bias and low quality of SR	
VISCERAL CONDITION	<u> </u>					<u> </u>
Outcomes for IBS***	Muller, 2014 ³²	NP	NP		High risk of bias and low quality of SR	

I ratue ingut evacence: Green light, MA micrated intervention effectiveness (Effect size any level). Downgrade for GRADE low (or GRADE moderatchigh in which judgement for some domains was not performed by the authors or our use of the new RoB version was the least favorable assessment) or for a low/critically low quality of the SRs; Vellow light, MA was not performed, conflicting results from RCTs or only one RCT. Downgrade for high risk of bias (from SR authors or our assessment) or low/critically low quality of SR; Red light, MA indicated that the intervention was ineffective or less effective than comparator; § SR from De Oliveira was not considered as for this condition all RCTs were included in more recent SRs with MAs.

Overall traffic light vidence: Green light, high quality evidence from MA indicates intervention effectiveness; Yellow light, promising evidence suggests possible effectiveness, but more research would increase our confidence in the estimate of the effect; Red light, limited or inconclusive evidence.

ANSLBP: acute non-specific low back pain, CNS-DP; chronic non-acceptain, CNS-LBP; non-specific low back pain, CNS-DP; chronic non-specific low back pain, CNS-DP; one-specific low back pain, CNS-DP; non-specific low